arXiv:math/0601028v6 [math.RT] 7 Apr 2009

On maximal proper subgroups of field automorphism groups

M.Rovinsky

Abstract. Let G be the automorphism group of an extension $F|k$ of algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero of transcendence degree n, $1 \leq n \leq \infty$. In this paper we

- construct some maximal closed non-open subgroups G_v, and some (all, in the case of countable transcendence degree) maximal open proper subgroups of G;
- describe, in the case of countable transcendence degree, the automorphism subgroups over the intermediate subfields (a question of Krull, [K2, §4, question 3b)]);
- construct, in the case $n = \infty$, a fully faithful subfunctor $(-)_v$ of the forgetful functor from the category $\mathcal{S}m_G$ of smooth representations of G to the category of smooth representations of G_v;
- construct, using the functors $(-)_v$, a subfunctor Γ of the identity functor on $\mathcal{S}m_G$, coincident (via the forgetful functor) with the functor Γ on the category of *admissible* semilinear representations of G constructed in [R3] in the case $n = \infty$ and $k = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

The study of open subgroups is motivated by the study of (the stabilizers of the) smooth representations undertaken in [R1, R3]. The functor Γ is an analogue of the global sections functor on the category of sheaves on a smooth proper algebraic variety. Another result is that 'interesting' semilinear representations are 'globally generated'.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). Primary 12F20, 14E99; Secondary 18F10.

Keywords. Automorphism groups of algebraically closed field extensions.

Consider a field extension $F|k$ and a group H of its automorphisms.

Given a presheaf (i.e. a contravariant functor) $\mathcal{F}$ on a category of smooth k-varieties (whose morphisms include all smooth ones) one can associate to it the

---

The author gratefully acknowledges the support of the Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung and of Pierre Deligne 2004 Balzan prize in mathematics. The author was supported in part by RFBR grants 06-01-72550-CNRS-L_a, 07-01-92211-CNRS-L_a.

'generic fibre' $\mathcal{F}(F) := \varinjlim_{U} \mathcal{F}(U)$, where U runs over the smooth irreducible k-varieties with function fields embedded into F. It is endowed with a natural H-action, and should be considered as one of typical objects studied in birational geometry.

In most general terms, we are going to study relations between presheaves $\mathcal{F}$ and their 'generic fibres' $\mathcal{F}(F)$.

Following [Jac, ПШ-Ш, Sh, I] (and generalizing the case [K1] of algebraic extension), consider H as a topological group with the base of open subgroups given by the stabilizers of finite subsets of F. Then H becomes a totally disconnected Hausdorff group.

Any element of the 'generic fibre' $\mathcal{F}(F)$ is the image of an element of $\mathcal{F}(U)$ for some U, and therefore, is fixed by the subgroup of H leaving the function field of U fixed. This means that the H-set $\mathcal{F}(F)$ is *smooth*, i.e. its stabilizers are open.

Evidently, one cannot get much of a representation of a 'small' group, so we fix the following setting.

Let $F|k$ be an extension of countable or finite transcendence degree n, $1 \leq n \leq \infty$, of algebraically closed fields of characteristic zero, and $G = G_{F|k}$ be its automorphism group.

Then for any intermediate subfield $k \subseteq K \subseteq F$ the topology on $G_{F|K}$ coincides with the restriction of the topology on G. G is locally compact if and only if $n < \infty$.

In §2 we describe the open subgroups of G in terms of certain 'primitive' open subgroups of $G_{L|k}$ for algebraically closed extensions $L|k$ of finite transcendence degree.

In §3 we study discrete valuations of F trivial on k and the corresponding decomposition groups. This is applied in §4 to the 'globalization', i.e. to constructing some presheaves on some categories of smooth k-varieties, of smooth G-modules. Because of the links with some types of motives, the emphasis is made on the subcategory $\mathcal{I}_G$ of smooth G-modules (see §2.1) and related categories of semilinear representations.

In §4.1 to a discrete valuation v of F trivial on k a fully faithful functor $(-)_v$ is associated. It is not clear yet, whether $(-)_v$ is exact. Its exactness is related to the following geometric question, cf. Lemma 4.4. Do there exist

- an integer $N \geq 1$,
- an irreducible variety X over k,
- a collection of N surjective maps $f_j : X \longrightarrow Y_j$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, with $\dim Y_j < \dim X$

such that the induced homomorphism of the 0-cycles $Z_0(X) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{N} Z_0(Y_j)$ is injective?

1. Structure of G

The classical morphism $\beta : \{\text{subfields } F \text{ over } k\} \hookrightarrow \{\text{closed subgroups of } G\}$, given by $K \mapsto G_{F|K}$, is injective, inverts the inclusions, transforms the compositum of subfields to the intersection of subgroups, and respects the units: $k \mapsto G$. The image of β is stable under the passages to sup-/sub- groups with compact quotients; β identifies the subfields over which F is algebraic with the compact subgroups of G ([Jac, ПШ-Ш, Sh, I]).

In particular, the proper subgroups in the image of β are the compact subgroups in the case $n = 1$.

The map $H \mapsto F^H$, left inverse of β, inverts the order, but it is not a monoid morphism.

Let $A\Pi$ be the set of algebraically closed subfields of F of finite transcendence degree over k. There is a morphism of partially ordered commutative associative unitary monoids (transforming the intersection of subgroups to the algebraic closure of the compositum of subfields) $\alpha : \{\text{open subgroups of } G\} \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow A\Pi$, uniquely determined by the condition $G_{F|\alpha(U)} \subseteq U$ and the transcendence degree of $\alpha(U)$ over k is minimal. Details are in Proposition 2.6.

Theorem 1.1 ([R1]).

1. *The subgroup G° of G, generated by the compact subgroups, is open and topologically simple, if $n < \infty$. If $n = \infty$ then G° is dense in G.*
2. *Any closed normal proper subgroup of G is trivial, if $n = \infty$.*

If $n < \infty$, the left G-action on the one-dimensional oriented $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of right-invariant measures on G gives rise to a surjective homomorphism, the modulus, $\chi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_+^\times$, which is trivial on G°. However, I know nothing about the discrete group $\ker \chi / G^\circ$. If it is trivial for $n = 1$ then it is trivial for arbitrary $n < \infty$. If $n = 1$ a presentation of G/G° as a quotient of a quite structured discrete group is given in Lemma 3.10.

Then one can characterize the image of β in the case $n = \infty$ in the following 4 steps.

1. The normalizers $G_{\{F,L\}|k}$ of $G_{F|L}$ in G for all $L \in A\Pi \smallsetminus \{k\}$ are the maximal open proper subgroups of G. (This follows from Proposition 2.6.)
2. The subgroups $G_{F|L}$ of G for all $L \in A\Pi \smallsetminus \{k\}$ are minimal closed non-trivial normal subgroups in $G_{\{F,L\}|k}$ from (1). (This follows from Theorem 1.1 (2). Namely, let $H \subset G_{\{F,L\}|k}$ be a closed non-trivial normal subgroup and $1 \neq \sigma \in H$. Let us show that H intersects $G_{F|L}$ non-trivially. One has $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1} \in H \cap G_{F|L}$ for any $\tau \in G_{F|L}$. By [R1, Corollary 2.3] there exists $\tau \in G_{F|L}$ such that $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}\tau^{-1} \neq 1$, which means $H \cap G_{F|L} \neq \{1\}$. Now Theorem 1.1 (2) implies that H contains $G_{F|L}$.)
3. The subgroups $G_{F|L}$ of G for all non-trivial extensions $L \subset F$ of k of finite type are the open subgroups containing normal co-compact subgroups of type $G_{F|\overline{L}}$ from (2). (This follows from the above Galois theory for $\overline{L}|k$.)

4. The proper subgroups in the image of β are intersections of subgroups from (3).

Remark. The subgroups $G_{F|L}$ of G for all extensions $L\subset F$ of k of finite type and transcendence degree one are the subgroups $G_{F|L}$ from (3) with the only maximal proper subgroup of G containing them.

The above procedure can be modified as follows.

1. The subgroups $G_{\{F,\overline{k(x)}\}|k}$ of G for all $x\in F\smallsetminus k$ are the open subgroups U of G such that $\#(U\backslash G/U)=2$.
2. The subgroups $G_{F|\overline{k(x)}}$ of G for all $x\in F\smallsetminus k$ are minimal closed non-trivial normal subgroups in $G_{\{F,\overline{k(x)}\}|k}$ from (1).
3. The subgroups $G_{F|k(x)}$ of G for all $x\in F\smallsetminus k$ are the open subgroups containing normal co-compact subgroups of type $G_{F|\overline{k(x)}}$ from (2) and such that $N_GU/U\cong\mathrm{PGL}_2k$.
4. The proper subgroups in the image of β are intersections of subgroups from (3).

This is based on the following two observations. (i) The condition $\#(U\backslash G/U)=2$ means that $G=U\sqcup U\sigma U$ for some (and therefore, any) $\sigma\in G\smallsetminus U$, and thus, U is maximal among proper subgroups of G. (ii) For any $F',F''\in A\Pi\smallsetminus\{k\}$ the set $G_{\{F,F'\}|k}\backslash G/G_{\{F,F''\}|k}$ is a disjoint union of the sets S_j, $0\le j\le\min(\mathrm{tr.deg}(F'|k),\mathrm{tr.deg}(F''|k))$, where

$$S_j=G_{\{F,F'\}|k}\backslash\{K\subset F\mid K\cong F''\text{ over }k,\ \mathrm{tr.deg}(KF'|F')=\mathrm{tr.deg}(F''|k)-j\}.$$

2. Maximal open subgroups

In this section we assume that $\mathrm{tr.deg}(F|k)=n\le\infty$.

Lemma 2.1. *If $\overline{L_1},\overline{L_2}$ are proper subfields of F and $1<\mathrm{tr.deg}(\overline{L_1}|\overline{L_1}\cap\overline{L_2})<\infty$ then the group H, generated by $G_{F|\overline{L_1}}$ and $G_{F|\overline{L_2}}$, contains $G_{F|\overline{L}}$, where $\overline{L}$ is a subfield of F, not containing L_1, and such that $\overline{L_1}\cap\overline{L}$ contains $\overline{L_1}\cap\overline{L_2}$ as a proper subfield.*

Proof. Set $k:=\overline{L_1}\cap\overline{L_2}$. Clearly, H contains $G_{F|\sigma(\overline{L_1})}$ for any $\sigma\in G_{F|\overline{L_2}}$. As $\sigma(\overline{L_1})$ is algebraically closed and $\overline{L_1}\cap\sigma(\overline{L_1})\supseteq k$, it remains to choose $\sigma\in G_{F|\overline{L_2}}$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(\overline{L_1})\nsubseteq\overline{L_1}$ and $\overline{L_1}\cap\sigma(\overline{L_1})\neq k$, and to set $L:=\sigma(\overline{L_1})$.

Let $x\in\overline{L_1}\smallsetminus k$ and $y\in\overline{L_1}\smallsetminus\overline{k(x)}$. We need $\sigma\in G_{F|\overline{L_2}}$ such that $\sigma x\in\overline{L_1}$ and $\sigma^{-1}y\notin\overline{L_1}$. If y is not in $\overline{L_2(x)}$ then such $\sigma\in G_{F|\overline{L_2}}$, clearly, exists. (The $G_{F|\overline{L_2(x)}}$-orbit of y spans F.)

Suppose now that the element y is forced to be algebraic over $L_2(x)$, i.e., $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L_1L_2|L_2)=1$. Then x and y are related by a polynomial $P(x,y)=0$ with coefficients in $\overline{L_2}$. Let us enumerate the non-zero coefficients of P by a set $\{0,\dots,N\}$. We shall assume that the polynomial $P(X,Y)=\sum_{s=0}^{N}p_sX^{i_s}Y^{j_s}$ is irreducible over $\overline{L_2}$, and $p_0=1$. Suppose that $\sigma^{-1}(\overline{L_1})\subseteq\overline{L_1}$ (which is equivalent to $\sigma(\overline{L_1}) = \overline{L_1}$, since $\mathrm{tr.deg}(\overline{L_1}|k) < \infty$) for any $\sigma \in G_{F|\overline{L_2}}$ such that $\sigma x \in \overline{L_1}$. Then $-(dP)(\sigma x, \sigma y) = P_I(\sigma x, \sigma y)d(\sigma x) + P_{II}(\sigma x, \sigma y)d(\sigma y) \in F \otimes_{L_1} \Omega^1_{L_1|k} \subseteq \Omega^1_{F|k}$, which can be rewritten as $\sum_{s=1}^N \sigma x^{i_s} \sigma y^{j_s} dp_s \in F \otimes_{L_1} \Omega^1_{L_1|k}$. It remains to show that the whole space F^N is the linear envelope of the vectors $(\sigma x^{i_1} \sigma y^{j_1}, \dots, \sigma x^{i_N} \sigma y^{j_N})$ for $\sigma \in G_{F|\overline{L_2}}$ and $\sigma x \in \overline{L_1}$ (since then $dp_s \in F \otimes_{L_1} \Omega^1_{L_1|k}$ for all s, which means that $p_s \in \overline{L_1}$, i.e., $p_s \in \overline{L_1} \cap \overline{L_2} =: k$).

Otherwise, if such vectors belong to a hyperplane: $\sum_{s=1}^N \lambda_s \sigma x^{i_s} \sigma y^{j_s} = 0$ for all $\sigma \in G_{F|\overline{L_2}}$ as above, then we may assume that $\lambda_1 = 1$ and the number M of non-zero λ_s is minimal: $\sum_{s=1}^M \lambda_s \sigma x^{i_s} \sigma y^{j_s} = 0$. Subtracting from this equality the image of the equality $\sum_{s=1}^M \lambda_s x^{i_s} y^{j_s} = 0$ under the action of σ, we get that $\lambda_s \in F^{G_{F|\overline{L_2}} \cap G_{\{F,\overline{L_1}\}|k}}$. As $F^{G_{F|\overline{L_2}} \cap G_{\{F,\overline{L_1}\}|k}} \subseteq \bigcap_{z \in \overline{L_1} \smallsetminus k} \overline{L_2}(z) \subseteq \bigcap_{b=1}^{\infty} \overline{L_2}(x^b) = \overline{L_2}$, we got thus another polynomial relation between x and y over $\overline{L_2}$, contradicting the minimality of the polynomial P. □

Corollary 2.2. *If* $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L_1|L_1 \cap L_2) < \infty$, L_1, L_2 *are proper algebraically closed subfields in* F *and* L_1 *is not contained in* L_2 *then* $H \supseteq G_{F|L}$ *for some algebraically closed subfield* L *such that* $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L_1|L_1 \cap L) = 1$.

Proof. The set of algebraically closed subfields of L, not containing L_1 and such that $H \supseteq G_{F|L}$, is non-empty, since contains L_2. Let $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L_1|L_1 \cap L)$ be minimal. According to Lemma 2.1, $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L_1|L_1 \cap L) = 1$. □

Lemma 2.3. *If* L_1, L_2 *are algebraically closed subfields in* F *and* $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L_1|L_1 \cap L_2) = 1$ *then the subgroup* H *generated by* $G_{F|L_1}$ *and* $G_{F|L_2}$ *is dense in* $G_{F|L_1 \cap L_2}$.

Proof. This is a version of Lemma 2.16 from [R1]. We may assume that L_2 is a proper subfield of F not contained in L_1. Thus, L_1 is an algebraic closure of $k(x)$ for some $x \in F \smallsetminus L_2$, where $k := L_1 \cap L_2$. Then for any $z \in F \smallsetminus L_2$, $y \in F \smallsetminus L_1$ there exist $\sigma \in G_{F|L_2}$, $\tau \in G_{F|L_1}$ such that $\sigma x = z$, $\tau x = y$. Then for any $y \in F \smallsetminus k$ there exists $\sigma \in G_{F|L_1} G_{F|L_2}$ such that $\sigma x = y$. It follows that H is a normal subgroup of G. If $n = \infty$ then the topological group G is simple (Theorem 2.9, [R1]), i.e., H is dense in G. If $n < \infty$ then, according to the same Theorem 2.9, [R1], the topological group G° is also simple, and thus, the closure of H contains G°. It is known (Lemma 2.15, [R1]) that $G = G_{F|L_1} G^\circ$, i.e., the closure of H again coincides with G. □

Corollary 2.4. *If* L_1, L_2 *are proper algebraically closed subfields of* F, L_1 *is not contained in* L_2 *and* $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L_1|L_1 \cap L_2) < \infty$ *then the closure of* H *contains* $G_{F|L}$ *for some proper algebraically closed subfield* L *in* L_1.

Proof. According to Corollary 2.2, there exists an algebraically closed subfield L' in F such that $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L_1|L_1 \cap L') = 1$ and $H \supseteq G_{F|L'}$. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that the subgroup generated by $G_{F|L_1}$ and $G_{F|L'}$ is dense in $G_{F|L}$, where $L := L_1 \cap L'$. We deduce from this that the closure of H contains some $G_{F|L}$ of the desired type. □

Proposition 2.5. *The subgroup* $H = \langle G_{F|L_1}, G_{F|L_2}\rangle$ *is dense in* $G_{F|L_1\cap L_2}$ *for any subfields* L_1 *and* L_2 *in* F *such that* $\overline{L_1}\cap\overline{L_2}$ *is algebraic over* $L_1\cap L_2$ *and* $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L_1|L_1\cap L_2)<\infty$*.*

Proof. The usual Galois theory reduces the problem to the case of algebraically closed L_1 and L_2. Next, we may assume that L_2 does not contain L_1, and $L_1\neq F$. Set $k:=L_1\cap L_2$. Let $L=\overline{L}\supseteq k$ be such that $H\supseteq G_{F|L}$ and let $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L|k)(\le \mathrm{tr.deg}(L_1|k))$ be minimal. If $L\not\subseteq L_j$ ($j=1$ or $j=2$) then, according to Corollary 2.4, ($\mathrm{tr.deg}(L|L\cap L_j)\le\mathrm{tr.deg}(L|k)<\infty$), the closure of the subgroup generated by $G_{F|L}$ and $G_{F|L_j}$, (and thus, the closure of H as well) contains $G_{F|L'}$, where L' is a proper algebraically closed subfield in L, contradicting the minimality of $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L|k)$. Thus, $L=k$. □

Proposition 2.6. *There is a morphism of commutative associative unital monoids inverting inclusions (transforming the intersection of subgroups to the algebraic closure of the compositum of subfields, and the identity* G *to the identity* k*)*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{open}\\ \text{subgroups of } G\end{array}\right\}\xrightarrow{\alpha}\!\!\!\!\rightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{c}\text{algebraically closed subfields of } F\\ \text{of finite transcendence degree over } k\end{array}\right\}=:A\Pi,$$

uniquely determined by the following equivalent conditions:

- $G_{F|\alpha(H)}$ *is a normal subgroup of* H *and, if possible,* $\alpha(H)\neq F$*;*
- $G_{F|\alpha(H)}\subseteq H$ *and* $\mathrm{tr.deg}(\alpha(H)|k)$ *is minimal.*

In particular, for any $L\in A\Pi$ *distinct from* F *and* k*, the normalizer* $G_{\{F,L\}|k}$ *of* $G_{F|L}$ *is maximal among proper subgroups of* G*.*

If $n=\infty$ *then any proper open subgroup* H *of* G *is contained in a maximal proper subgroup of* G*, and any maximal proper open subgroup of* G *is of type* $G_{\{F,L\}|k}$ *for some* $L\in A\Pi$*,* $L\neq k$*. Besides that,* $\alpha(H)=\alpha(N_GH)$*.*

Proof. If a subgroup H of G is open then there exists $L\in A\Pi$ such that $G_{F|L}\subseteq H$. Let L be of minimal possible transcendence degree. For any $\sigma\in H$ the group H contains the closure of the subgroup generated by $G_{F|L}$ and $G_{F|\sigma(L)}$. Then, by Proposition 2.5, H contains $G_{F|L\cap\sigma(L)}$, and therefore, $\sigma(L)\supseteq L$. Thus, $H\subseteq G_{\{F,L\}|k}$. In other words, $G_{F|L}\triangleleft H$.

Let us check the maximality of $G_{\{F,L\}|k}$ for $L\neq k,F$. If $G_{\{F,L\}|k}\subseteq U$ then $G_{F|K}\subseteq U\subseteq G_{\{F,K\}|k}$ for some $K=\overline{K}$ such that $\mathrm{tr.deg}(K|k)\le\mathrm{tr.deg}(L|k)$, since $G_{F|L}\subseteq U$. The inclusion $G_{\{F,L\}|k}\subseteq G_{\{F,K\}|k}$ takes place only if either $K=L$, or $K=k$. In the second case $U=G$.

If, moreover, $G_{F|L'}\subseteq H$ for another $L'\in A\Pi$ then either $L\subseteq L'$, or $L=F$. If, in addition, $H\subseteq G_{\{F,L'\}|k}$ then either $L=L'$, or $L=F$, or $L'=F$. This shows that α is well-defined. This also implies that $G_{F|\alpha(H)}\triangleleft N_GH$, and thus, $\alpha(H)=\alpha(N_GH)$, if $n=\infty$.

Let us check that α is a morphism. If $G_{F|\overline{K}}\subseteq U\subseteq G_{\{F,\overline{K}\}|k}$ and $G_{F|\overline{L}}\subseteq V\subseteq G_{\{F,\overline{L}\}|k}$ then $G_{F|\overline{K}\ \overline{L}}\subseteq U\cap V\subseteq G_{\{F,\overline{K},\overline{L}\}|k}:=G_{\{F,\overline{K}\}|k}\bigcap G_{\{F,\overline{L}\}|k}$. As $G_{F|\overline{K}\ \overline{L}}\supseteq G_{F|\overline{KL}}$ and $G_{\{F,\overline{K},\overline{L}\}|k}\subseteq G_{\{F,\overline{KL}\}|k}$, it remains to show that $\alpha(U\cap V)=F$ in the case $\overline{KL}=F$.

If $\alpha(U) = F$ then evidently $\alpha(U \cap V) = F$. If $\overline{K}$ and $\overline{L}$ are distinct from F then $\alpha(G_{\{F,\overline{K},\overline{L}\}|k}) = \overline{KL}$, i.e. again $\alpha(U \cap V) = F$. $\square$

REMARKS. 1. If $n < \infty$ and $H \subset G$ is contained in neither subgroup of type $G_{\{F,L\}|k}$ for $L \in A\Pi \smallsetminus \{k, F\}$ then F is algebraic over the subfield generated over k by the H-orbit of x for any $x \in F \smallsetminus k$.

2. If $\text{tr.deg}(L|k) = \text{tr.deg}(F|L) = \infty$ then $G_{\{F,\overline{L}\}|k}$ is maximal among the proper closed subgroups of G, i.e., the subgroup H generated by $G_{\{F,\overline{L}\}|k}$ and by any $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma(\overline{L}) \neq \overline{L}$ is dense in G. *Question.* Can one replace the condition '$\text{tr.deg}(F|L) = \infty$' by the condition '$F \neq \overline{L}$'?

Proof. Replacing if necessary σ by σ^{-1}, we may assume that $\sigma(\overline{L})$ does not contain $\overline{L}$. According to [R1, Proposition 2.14], the closure of H contains $G_{F|\overline{L}\cap\sigma(\overline{L})}$, since this is the closure of the subgroup generated by $G_{F|\overline{L}}$ and $G_{F|\sigma(\overline{L})}$. Set $L' = \overline{L} \cap \sigma(\overline{L})$.

Then there exists an element $\tau \in G_{\{F,\overline{L}\}|k}$ such that $L' \cap \tau(L') = k$. Namely, choose a transcendence base $t_1, t_2, t_3, \dots$ of $\overline{L}|k$ such that $L' \subseteq \overline{k(t_2, t_3, \dots)}$. Let $\tau \in G_{\{F,\overline{L}\}|k}$ be such that $\tau t_j = t_1^{j-1} + t_j$ for any $j \geq 1$. Then any element of $L' \cap \tau(L')$ has the following form $F(t_1+t_2, t_1^2+t_3, \dots, t_1^{M-1}+t_M) = G(t_2, t_3, \dots, t_M)$ for some algebraic functions F and G, and some $M \geq 2$. The equality of the exterior differentials in $\Omega^1_{F|k}$ gives us $\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} F_j d(t_1^j + t_{j+1}) = \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} G_j dt_{j+1}$, where $F_j = \partial F/\partial X_j$ and $G_j = \partial G/\partial X_j$, or $(\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} j t_1^{j-1} F_j) dt_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{M-1} (G_j - F_j) dt_{j+1}$, which is equivalent to $F_j = G_j$ for all $1 \leq j < M$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{M-1} j t_1^{j-1} G_j = 0$, i.e., $F_j = G_j = 0$ for all $1 \leq j < M$. This means that $L' \cap \tau(L') = k$. $\square$

3. Suppose that finitely generated subfields $K_1, \dots, K_N$ of $F|k$ are in general position. Then the common stabilizer of their algebraic closures $G_{\{F,\overline{K_1},\dots,\overline{K_N}\}|k}$ is contained in precisely $2^N - 1$ maximal proper open subgroups of G: $G_{\{F,K_S\}|k}$ for all non-empty subsets $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$, where K_S is the algebraic closure of the compositum of K_j for $j \in S$. Indeed, F, k and K_S for all S are the only algebraically closed subfields preserved by $G_{\{F,\overline{K_1},\dots,\overline{K_N}\}|k}$.

4. For any totally disconnected group H and a subgroup $U \subset H$ the functors $H^0(U, -)$ and $\varinjlim_{U \subset V \subset H} H^0(V, -)$ on the category of smooth H-sets (or modules, etc.) coincide. Here V runs over the open subgroups of H.

In particular, the following conditions are equivalent

1. $H^0(U_1, -) = H^0(U_2, -)$ on the category of smooth representations of H,
2. $H^0(U_1, -) = H^0(U_2, -)$ on the category of smooth H-sets,
3. any open subgroup of H containing U_1 contains U_2 and vice versa.

Proof. Implications (3)$\Rightarrow$(2)$\Rightarrow$(1) are trivial. (1)$\Rightarrow$(3). Assuming the contrary, let an open subgroup V contains U_1 but not U_2. Then $[1] \in H^0(U_1, \mathbb{Q}[H/V])$, but $[1] \notin H^0(U_2, \mathbb{Q}[H/V])$. $\square$

Then one can characterize the union of proper open subgroups of G as follows.

Corollary 2.7. *If $n = \infty$ then the union of proper open subgroups of G is a proper dense subset of G. The following properties of an element $\sigma \in G$ are equivalent.*

1. *σ does not belong to the union of the proper open subgroups of G,*
2. *$W^{\langle\sigma\rangle} = W^G$ for any smooth (i.e., with open stabilizers) G-set W,*
3. *$W^{\langle\sigma\rangle} = W^G$ for any smooth representation W of G,*
4. *there are non-zero σ-invariant finite-dimensional F-vector subspaces in $\Omega^q_{F|k}$ for neither $q \geq 1$,*
5. *there are no non-zero σ-invariant finite-dimensional F-vector subspaces in $\Omega^1_{F|k}$.*

If σ has these equivalent properties then for any smooth G-group M restriction to $\langle\sigma\rangle$ of any non-trivial smooth G-torsor under M is non-trivial, i.e., the sequence of pointed sets $\{*\} \longrightarrow H^1_{Sm}(G, M) \longrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, M)$ is exact.[1] *As one can it imagine, the groups $\mathrm{Hom}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}$ are in general much bigger than the groups Hom_G.*

Proof. For instance, if $\{x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a transcendence base of F over k and $\sigma \in G$ is such that $\sigma x_i = x_{i+1}$ for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ then G is the only open subgroup containing σ.

To show the density, note that for any $\tau \in G$ and any $L \subset F$ of finite transcendence degree over k there is $\sigma_L \in G_{\{F, \overline{L\tau(L)}\}|k}$ such that $\sigma_L|_L = \tau|_L$.

(1), (2), (3) are equivalent by the preceding remark applied to $U_1 = G$ and $U_2 = \langle\sigma\rangle$.

(2)$\Rightarrow$(4). Consider the set of non-zero finite-dimensional F-vector subspaces in $\Omega^q_{F|k}$. Clearly, it is smooth, and it has no elements fixed by the whole group G. Then any element fixing a point of our set belongs to a proper open subgroup.

The implication (4)$\Rightarrow$(5) is trivial. The implication (5)$\Rightarrow$(2) follows from Proposition 2.6.

Let $K = k(x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z})$ and $K^+ = k(x_i \mid i \geq 0)$. The Haar measure on $G_{F|K}$ induces an embedding $\mathrm{Hom}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}(K, W) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}(F, W)$ via the normalized trace surjection $F \longrightarrow K$. Let $M = \{\frac{P}{Q} \in K^+ \mid -1 \leq \deg_{x_0} P < \deg_{x_0} Q,\ P, Q \in \sigma(K^+)[x_0]\}$. Then the the natural map $k \oplus \mathbb{Q}[\langle\sigma\rangle] \otimes (M \oplus x_0\sigma(K^+)[x_0]) \longrightarrow K$ is an isomorphism of $\langle\sigma\rangle$-modules, and thus, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\langle\sigma\rangle}(K, W) = \mathrm{Hom}(k, W^G) \oplus \mathrm{Hom}(M \oplus x_0\sigma(K^+)[x_0], W)$. $\square$

REMARKS. 1. Even if there is a q-dimensional σ-invariant subspace in $\Omega^1_{F|k}$ there does not always exist a σ-invariant subfield in F of transcendence degree q

---

[1] but $H^1_{Sm}(G, M) \hookrightarrow H^1(\langle\sigma\rangle, M)$ is not bijective, as shows the example of trivial G-group $M \neq \{1\}$.

over k. EXAMPLE. Let $x, y, z_i, i \in \mathbb{Z}$, form a transcendence base of $F|k$, and $\sigma x = y$, $\sigma y = x^2$, $\sigma z_i = z_{i+1}$. Then $\sigma\omega = -\sqrt{2}\omega$, where $\omega = \sqrt{2}\frac{dx}{x} - \frac{dy}{y}$. If there is a σ-invariant subfield in F of transcendence degree 1 over k, and φ is its non-constant element then consider $d\varphi = \varphi_I dx + \varphi_{II} dy$. Then $d\varphi \wedge d\sigma\varphi = 0$, so $\varphi_I \cdot \sigma\varphi_I = 2x\varphi_{II} \cdot \sigma\varphi_{II}$. Set $\psi = \varphi_I/\varphi_{II}$. Then $\psi \cdot \sigma\psi = 2x$. As the equation $\alpha \cdot \sigma\alpha = 1$ has only constant solutions, $\alpha = \pm 1$ (since this implies that $\alpha = \sigma^2\alpha$), and therefore, $\psi = \pm\sqrt{2}y/x$. As the analytic solutions of $x\varphi_I(x, y) - \sqrt{2}y\varphi_{II}(x, y) = 0$ are of type $\varphi(x, y) = \xi(x^{\sqrt{2}}y)$, we get $\varphi \in \overline{k}$. $\square$

2. If $n = \infty$ then any countable free group $H = *_{j \in S}\mathbb{Z}$ can be embedded into G in such a way that its intersection with any proper open subgroup in G is trivial. Namely, choose a transcendence base of $F|k$, and enumerate it by the elements of H: $\{x_h \mid h \in H\}$. Define an action of the generators $\{h_j \mid j \in S\}$ of H on the transcendence base by $h_j x_h = x_{h_j h}$. Clearly, this action extends, though not uniquely, to F.

Questions. 1. The preimage of any subgroup of a prime index in $\mathbb{Q}_+^\times$ under the modulus character gives, if $n < \infty$, an example of a maximal open proper subgroup, not encounted by Proposition 2.6. Any compact subset of G is contained in infinitely many subgroups of this type. Are there any other maximal proper open subgroups?

2. Do there exist closed subgroups not contained in maximal proper ones?

3. Let $A_\sigma := F\langle\sigma, \sigma^{-1}\rangle$ be the algebra of endomorphisms of the additive group F generated by F and by $\sigma^{\pm 1}$ for some $\sigma \in G$. Clearly, A_σ is a Euclidean simple central $F^{\langle\sigma\rangle}$-algebra, cf. [O]. The set of σ-invariant algebraically closed subfields in $F|k$ injects into the set of A_σ-submodules in $\Omega^1_{F|k}$ by $L \mapsto F \otimes_L \Omega^1_{L|k}$. Suppose that $n = \infty$ and σ does not belong to the union of the proper open subgroups of G. In particular, $\Omega^1_{F|k}$ is a torsion-free A_σ-module of at most countable rank. In a standard manner one checks that the finitely generated torsion-free A_σ-modules are free.

An example of the A_σ-module $\Omega^1_{F|k}$ of rank 1, which is not free, is given by $F = \overline{k(x_i, y_j \mid i \in \mathbb{Z},\ j \in \mathbb{N})}$, where x_i, y_j are algebraically independent, we set $y_0 = x_0$ and $\sigma x_i = x_{i+1}$, $\sigma y_j = y_{j-1} + y_j$. In particular, there is a strictly increasing sequence of σ-invariant algebraically closed subfields in $F|k$: $\overline{k(\sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}x_0)} \subset \overline{k(\sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}y_1)} \subset \overline{k(\sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}y_2)} \subset \dots$

The rank of the A_σ-module $\Omega^1_{F|k}$ is an invariant of the conjugacy class of σ. What are the others?

In the case $F = \overline{k(x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z})}$, where x_i are algebraically independent and $\sigma x_i = x_{i+1}$, one has $A_\sigma \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^1_{F|k}$, $\alpha \mapsto \alpha dx_0$, so the set of A_σ-submodules in $\Omega^1_{F|k}$ is in bijection with the set of left ideals in A_σ, i.e., with the set of monic (non-commutative) polynomials in σ with non-zero constant term. E.g., the polynomial $\sigma + 1$ corresponds to $\overline{k(x_i + x_{i+1} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z})} \neq F$. The $\sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$-orbit of an element $y \in F$

form a transcendence base of $F|k$ if and only if there exists $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $y \in \overline{k(x_i)} \smallsetminus k$.

2.1. Stabilizers of 'homotopy invariant' representations

Denote by $\mathcal{I}_G$ the full subcategory in $\mathcal{S}m_G$ consisting of representations W such that $W^{G_{F|M}} = W^{G_{F|M'}}$ for any extension M of k in F and any purely transcendental extension M' of M in F. Denote by $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}_{/k} = \mathcal{I}_{F|k} : \mathcal{S}m_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_G$ the left adjoint to the inclusion functor $\mathcal{I}_G \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_G$, and set $C_L := \mathcal{I}_{F|k}\mathbb{Q}[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]$ for any extension L of k of finite type, cf. [R1], §6.

The stabilizers of any object of $\mathcal{I}_G$ are of type $G_{\{F,F'\}|k} \times_{G_{F'|k}} H$, where $F' \in A\Pi$ (is an algebraically closed extension of k in F of finite transcendence degree) and H is an open subgroup of $G_{F'|k}$ such that if $G_{F'|L} \subseteq H$ then $\overline{L} = F'$. (This follows from Proposition 2.6, since if $\mathrm{Stab}_w = G_{\{F,F_1,\dots,F_s\}|k} \times_{G_{F_s|k}} H$, where $H \subseteq G_{F_s|k}$ is open and if $G_{F_s|L} \subseteq H$ then $\overline{L} = F_s$; $F_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq F_s$ is a flag in $A\Pi$, and S is the union of transcendence bases of $F_1|F_2, \dots, F_{s-1}|F_s$ then $\mathrm{Stab}_w \supseteq G_{F|L(S)}$, and therefore, $\mathrm{Stab}_w \supseteq G_{F|L}$, i.e. $F_1 = F_s$.)

One might wonder, whether the objects of $\mathcal{I}_G$ (or of $\mathcal{A}dm_G$) are determined by their stabilizers. The following example suggests that the morphisms are not determined by the stabilizers, if one allows irreducible objects.

Example. There exist linear maps, respecting the stabilizers which are not G-equivariant. Namely, let A be a commutative algebraic k-group, α be a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear homomorphism $A(F)/A(k) \longrightarrow A(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and $\Lambda \subseteq A(k)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a $\mathbb{Q}$-vector subspace. Then $id + \alpha : W := A(F)_{\mathbb{Q}}/\Lambda \longrightarrow W$ preserves the stabilizers, but it is G-equivariant only if $\alpha = 0$.

3. Valuation subgroups

Let $\mathcal{O}_v$ be a valuation ring in F, $\mathfrak{m}_v = \mathcal{O}_v \smallsetminus \mathcal{O}_v^{\times}$ be the maximal ideal, and $\kappa(v)$ be the residue field. If $k \subseteq \mathcal{O}_v$, fix a subfield $k \subseteq F' \subseteq \mathcal{O}_v$ identified with $\kappa(v)$ by the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_v$. In this case $\kappa(v)$ is of characteristic zero (and algebraically closed).

Set $G_v := \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\mathcal{O}_v) = \mathcal{O}_v\}$. This is a closed subgroup in G.

The $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\Gamma := F^{\times}/\mathcal{O}_v^{\times}$ is totally ordered: $v(x) \geq v(y)$ if and only if $xy^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$, where $v : F^{\times} \longrightarrow \Gamma$ is the natural projection. The rank of v is $r := \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \Gamma$. We assume that it is finite.

Assume that the characteristics of a field L and of the residue field κ of a valuation w of L are equal. Then w is called *discrete*, if L is algebraic over the subfield generated by a lift of a transcendence base of κ and by a lift of a basis of the valuation group. In particular, v is discrete if and only if the transcendence degree of F over F' is equal to r.

The basic example of $\mathcal{O}_v$ is, after choosing an arbitrary algebraically closed $F' \subseteq F$, over which F is of transcendence degree r, a transcendence base $x_1, \dots, x_r$

of F over F', and embeddings $F_j \hookrightarrow \lim\limits_{N\longrightarrow} F_{j-1}((x_j^{1/N}))$ over $F_{j-1}(x_j)$, the preimage in F of the ring $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_r = F'\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_1 = \lim\limits_{N\longrightarrow} F'[[x_1^{1/N}]]\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_2 = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{j-1}\oplus\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_j$. Here $F_0 := F'$ and $F_j = \overline{F_{j-1}(x_j)}$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_{r+1} = 0$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_j = \lim\limits_{N\longrightarrow} x_j^{1/N}F'((x_1^{1/N}))\dots((x_{j-1}^{1/N}))[[x_j^{1/N}]]\oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{p}}_{j+1}$ are prime ideals for all $1 \le j \le r+1$. In this case $v(x_1^{m_1}) < \dots < v(x_r^{m_r})$ for all $m_1, \dots, m_r > 0$.

If $r < \infty$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_v) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_v$ for some $\sigma \in G$ then $\sigma \in G_v$, since σ induces surjective endomorphism of Γ, i.e. an automorphism.

It is well-known, [ZS], or Exercise 32, Chapter 5, [AM], that the complement $\mathcal{O} \smallsetminus \mathfrak{p}$ to a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$ in a valuation ring $\mathcal{O}$ projects onto the set of all non-negative elements in some isolated subgroup of the valuation group Γ, and $\mathbf{Spec}\mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\sim} \{\text{isolated subgroups in } \Gamma\}$, $\mathfrak{p} \mapsto \langle v(\mathcal{O} \smallsetminus \mathfrak{p})\rangle$, so there are exactly $r+1$ prime ideals in $\mathcal{O}_v$.

REMARKS. 1. If $\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$ is a non-maximal prime ideal of finite codimension in $\mathcal{O}_v$ and $\mathcal{O}_{v'} := (\mathcal{O}_v)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ then $G_v \subseteq G_{v'}$ (since any element $\sigma \in G_v$ preserves $\mathfrak{p}$, thus also $\mathcal{O}_v \smallsetminus \mathfrak{p}$, i.e. induces an automorphism of $\mathcal{O}_{v'}$).

2. The inclusion $G_v \subset G_{\{F,\mathcal{O}_v[x_1^{-1}]\}|k}$ is proper for $r > 1$, i.e. G_v is not maximal.

Let $\mathcal{P}_L^r$ be the set of discrete valuation rings of rank r in L, containing k, admitting also the following description. Let $\mathcal{C}_X^r$ be the set of chains of irreducible normal subvarieties up to codimension r on an irreducible proper normal variety X over k. Any proper surjection with irreducible fibres, e.g. a birational morphism, $X' \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ induces an embedding $\mathcal{C}_X^r \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{X'}^r$, $(Z^1 \supset \dots \supset Z^r) \mapsto (W^1 \supset \dots \supset W^r)$, where $W^0 := X'$ and $W^j := (\pi|_{W^{j-1}})^{-1}_{\text{prop}}(Z^j)$ for $1 \le j \le r$ (and $\pi|_{W_1} : W_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} Z_1$). If L is of finite type over k then $\mathcal{P}_L^r \cong \lim\limits_{X\longrightarrow} \mathcal{C}_X^r$, where X runs over the models of $L|k$, and $\mathcal{P}_F^r = \left(\lim\limits_{\longleftarrow L} (\coprod_{j=0}^r \mathcal{P}_L^j)\right) \smallsetminus \coprod_{j=0}^{r-1} \mathcal{P}_F^j$. In particular, $\mathcal{P}_L^1 = C(k)$, if $n = 1$, where C is a smooth proper model of the field L over k.

Any proper surjection $X' \xrightarrow{\pi} X$ induces embeddings $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{C}_X^r] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{C}_{X'}^r]$ and $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{C}_X^r]^\circ \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{C}_{X'}^r]^\circ$, where $\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{C}_X^r]^\circ := \bigcap_{j=0}^{r-1} \ker \left(\mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{C}_X^r] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{C}_X^{r,j}]\right)$, $\mathcal{C}_X^{r,j}$ denotes the set of chains with no component of codimension j and $\mathcal{C}_X^r \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_X^{r,j}$ is the omitting of such component.

In particular, one can define a smooth G-module $\text{Ц}^r = \lim\limits_{L\longrightarrow} \mathbb{Z}[\mathcal{P}_L^r]^\circ$, where $L \subset F$ runs over the set of subfields of finite type over k. Then one can define a morphism $gr_{2q}^W H^q_{\mathrm{dR}/k}(F) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Res}} \text{Ц}^q \otimes k$ by $\alpha\frac{dt_1}{t_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \frac{dt_q}{t_q} \mapsto \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_q} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma)\alpha|_{D_{1\dots q}} \cdot (D_{\sigma(1)} \supset D_{\sigma(1)\sigma(2)} \supset \dots \supset \bigcap_{j=1}^q D_j =: D_{1\dots q})$, where D_i is given locally by $t_i = 0$ and α is regular in a neighbourhood of $t_1 = \dots = t_q = 0$.

The following fact is a well-known property of the Newton polygon.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a valuation ring in an algebraically closed field L and v be the valuation. Suppose that the coefficients of a polynomial $P(X)=\sum_s a_sX^s\in L[X]$ satisfy $a_ia_j\neq 0$ for some $i<j$ and $(j-i)\cdot v(a_s)\geq (j-s)\cdot v(a_i)-(i-s)\cdot v(a_j)$ for any s.*

Then there is $z\in L$ such that $P(z)=0$ and $(j-i)\cdot v(z)=v(a_i/a_j)$.

Proof. Replacing a_s by $a_s\cdot a_i^{-1}\cdot t^{s-i}$, where $t^{j-i}=a_i/a_j$, and z by z/t, we may assume that $a_s\in\mathcal{O}$ for any s and $a_i,a_j\in\mathcal{O}^\times$, and look for $z\in\mathcal{O}^\times$ such that $P(z)=0$.

If there is no such z then $P(X)=cQ(X)R(X)$, where $c\in L^\times$, $Q(X)=\prod_{\alpha\in S}(X-\alpha)$, $R(X)=\prod_{\beta\in T}(1-\beta X)$ and $S,T\subset\mathfrak{m}:=\mathcal{O}\smallsetminus\mathcal{O}^\times$. Thus, modulo $\mathfrak{m}$, $Q(X)R(X)$ is a power of x, contradicting the existence of two non-zero (modulo $\mathfrak{m}$) coefficients of $P(X)$. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *If $0\leq r<n+1\leq\infty$ then the G-action on the set of pairs (v,Λ), where $v:F^\times\twoheadrightarrow\Gamma\cong\mathbb{Q}^r$ is an element of $\mathcal{P}_F^r$ and $\Lambda\cong\mathbb{Z}^r$ is a lattice in Γ, is transitive. The stabilizer of (v,Λ) acts transitively on the set of maximal subfields $\widetilde{F}$ in $F|k$ such that $v(\widetilde{F}^\times)=\Lambda$. The residue field of $\widetilde{F}$ coincides with $\kappa(v)$ (in particular, it is algebraically closed).*

Proof. Let $0=\Gamma_0\subset\Gamma_1\subset\cdots\subset\Gamma_{r-1}\subset\Gamma_r=\Gamma$ be the isolated subgroups in Γ. Choose $x_1,x_2,\dots\in\mathcal{O}_v$ such that $v(x_j)\in\Gamma_j\smallsetminus\Gamma_{j-1}$ for $1\leq j\leq r$ and $x_{r+1},x_{r+2},\dots$ modulo $\mathfrak{m}_v$ form a transcendence base of $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{m}$ over k. Clearly, $x_1,x_2,\dots$ are algebraically independent over k. Set $k'=\overline{k(x_{r+1},x_{r+2},\dots)}\subseteq F$ and $\widehat{F}_r:=\lim\limits_{N\longrightarrow}k'((X_1^{1/N}))\dots((X_r^{1/N}))$.

Define the embedding $k(x_1,x_2,\dots)\overset{\varphi}{\hookrightarrow}k'((X_1))\dots((X_r))$ by $x_j\mapsto X_j$. It respects the valuation. Consider the set S of embeddings into $\widehat{F}_r$ of subfields in F containing $k(x_1,\dots,x_n)$, extending φ and respecting the valuation. The set S is partially ordered. Clearly, S contains maximal elements. Let $L'\overset{\xi}{\hookrightarrow}\widehat{F}_r$ be a maximal element of S. If $\sum_s a_sy^s=0$ is a minimal polynomial of $y\in F$ over L' then there exist $i<j$ such that $v(y)=\frac{v(a_i/a_j)}{j-i}$. By Lemma 3.1, there exists $z\in\widehat{F}_r$ such that $\sum_s\xi(a_s)z^s=0$ and $\hat{v}(z)=\frac{\hat{v}(\xi(a_i/a_j))}{j-i}=\frac{v(a_i/a_j)}{j-i}$. Therefore, ξ extends to $L'(z)$ by $y\mapsto z$, and thus, $L'=F$.

This shows that φ extends to an embedding $F\hookrightarrow\widehat{F}_r$, respecting the valuation.

Thus, any element of $\mathcal{P}_F^r$ is determined by a choice of $x_1,x_2,\dots\in F$ algebraically independent over k and by an embedding of F into $\widehat{F}_r$ over $k(x_1,x_2,\dots)$. Clearly, the G-action is transitive on these data, which means its transitivity on $\mathcal{P}_F^r$.

The completion of $\widetilde{F}$ is isomorphic to $k'((X_1))\dots((X_r))$, so $\widetilde{F}$ is isomorphic to the algebraic closure of $k(x_1,x_2,\dots)$ in $k'((X_1))\dots((X_r))$. As G_v acts transitively on the lattices in Γ, the isomorphism class of the extension $\widetilde{F}|k$ is determined uniquely. $\square$

The G_v-action on $\kappa(v)$ induces a homomorphism $G_v \twoheadrightarrow G_{\kappa(v)|k}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} G_v^\dagger &:= \{\sigma \in G_v \mid \sigma x - x \in \mathfrak{m}_v \text{ for any } x \in \mathcal{O}_v\} \\ &\qquad\qquad = \{\sigma \in G \mid \tfrac{\sigma x}{x} \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}_v \text{ for any } x \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times\} \end{aligned}$$

be its kernel, the 'inertia' subgroup.

A continuous[2] section of $G_{\kappa(v)|k} \hookrightarrow G_v$ is determined by a subfield $F' \subseteq \mathcal{O}_v$, identified with $\kappa(v)$ by the reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_v$, and by an embedding of F into the field of iterated Puiseux series $\varinjlim_N F'((t_1^{1/N}))\dots((t_r^{1/N}))$ over F' compatible with valuations (i.e. by a choice of a section $\Gamma \hookrightarrow F^\times$ of the valuation v).

As the centralizer of $G_v^\dagger$ is trivial, such sections form a $G_v^\dagger$-torsor. One has a decomposition $G_v = G_v^\dagger(G_v \cap G_{\{F,F'\}|k})$, where $G_v^\dagger \cap G_{\{F,F'\}|k} = G_v \cap G_{F|F'}$.

Restriction to F' and reduction modulo $\mathfrak{m}_v$, respectively, determine canonical isomorphisms $G_{F'|k} \xleftarrow{\sim} (G_v \cap G_{\{F,F'\}|k})/(G_v \cap G_{F|F'}) \xrightarrow{\sim} G_{\kappa(v)|k}$.

Let B_+ be the group of linear transformations of Γ (isomorphic to the group of upper-triangular rational $r \times r$ matrices with positive diagonal entries), respecting the order, i.e. the flag of isolated subgroups $0 = \Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma_1 \subset \dots \subset \Gamma_r = \Gamma$ and the orientation of each $\Gamma_j/\Gamma_{j-1} \cong \mathbb{Q}$, $1 \le j \le r$. Then $\mathcal{P}_L^r$ consists of (some) valuations $(L^\times/k^\times)\otimes\mathbb{Q} \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^r$ modulo the B_+-action. The G_v-action on $F^\times$ induces a linear action on Γ, preserving the order, i.e. a homomorphism $G_v \longrightarrow B_+$, surjective and split, if v is discrete.

Let $G_v^\circ := \{\sigma \in G_v \mid \sigma x/x \in \mathcal{O}_v^\times \text{ for any } x \in F^\times\}$ be its kernel.

There is a homomorphism $G_v^\dagger \cap G_v^\circ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \kappa(v)^\times)$,[3] $\sigma \longmapsto (v(x) \mapsto \sigma x/x \bmod \mathfrak{m}_v)$, which is surjective and split, if v is discrete.

---

[2] One can apply the well-known fact (cf., e.g., [W]) that the $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra in k generated by the coefficients of any element of $k((t))$, which is algebraic over $k(t)$, has a finite number of generators. *Proof.* Let $F(f,t) = 0$ be a minimal polynomial of some formal series $f \in k[[t]]$. Then the first partial derivative of F with respect to first variable does not vanish at (f,t): $F_I(f,t) \neq 0$, so $F_I(f,t) \in t^s k[[t]] \smallsetminus t^{s+1}k[[t]]$ for some $s \ge 0$.

Denote by f_n the only polynomial of degree $< n$ congruent to f modulo t^n. For a polynomial Φ in t and an integer m denote by $\Phi_{[m]}$ the degree-m coefficient of Φ. Clearly, $F_I(f_n,t)_{[m]}$ is independent of n for $n > m$.

We are going to show that the $\mathbb{Z}$-subalgebra in k generated by the coefficients of f is generated, in fact, by the coefficients of F, by the coefficients of f_{s+1} and by the inverse of a polynomial in coefficients of F and in coefficients of f_{s+1}.

This is done by induction on degree $n > s$: by definition, $F(f_n,t) \in t^n k[[t]]$ and we have to find (assuming that it exists!) an element $a_n \in k$ such that $F(f_n + a_n t^n) \in t^{n+1}k[[t]]$. One has $F(f_n + a_n t^n, t) \equiv F(f_n,t) + F_I(f_n,t)a_n t^n \pmod{t^{2n}}$, so the condition is $F(f_n,t)_{[n+s]} + F_I(f_n,t)_{[s]}a_n = 0$. This is a linear equation with polynomial coefficients in coefficients of F and in coefficients of f_{s+1}. As a_n is a (unique!) solution of this linear equation, $F_I(f_n,t)_{[s]} =: D$ is non-zero. Then the coefficients a_n are polynomials over $\mathbb{Z}$ in coefficients of F, coefficients of f_{s+1} and in D^{-1}. $\square$

[3] Clearly, $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \kappa(v)^\times) \cong (\varprojlim_N M_N)^r$, where $M_{NN'} := \kappa(v)^\times \twoheadrightarrow M_{N'} := \kappa(v)^\times$ is the raising to the N-th power.

Lemma 3.3. *Its kernel* $G_v^1 := \{\sigma \in G_v \mid \sigma x/x \in 1 + \mathfrak{m}_v$ *for any* $x \in F^\times\} \subset G_v^\dagger$ *is a discrete subgroup if* $n < \infty$*. The functors* $H^0(G, -)$ *and* $H^0(G_v^1, -)$ *coincide on the category of smooth* G*-sets, if* $n = \infty$ *and* v *is discrete of finite non-zero rank.*

Proof. The G_v-action on F extends to a continuous G_v-action on the completion F_v of F, and the continuous G_v^1-action on F_v is determined by the action on some r-tuple of elements, representing the isolated subgroups of Γ and by the action on some maximal subfield with trivial restriction of v. Clearly, the latter action is determined by its restriction to any transcendence base over k. The second assertion follows from the fact that any open subgroup containing G_v^1 coincides with G, which follows from Proposition 2.6. □

Remark. There is a natural inclusion of sets $G_v^\circ/G_v^1 \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(F^\times/(k^\times + \mathfrak{m}_v), \kappa(v)^\times)$, $[\sigma] \mapsto (\sigma x/x \bmod \mathfrak{m}_v)$.

Let L be the function field of a d-dimensional variety over k, $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$ be a subset and $v \in \mathcal{P}_F^r$, $p \in \mathcal{P}_L^{|I|}$. Let $O_{p,v,I}$ be the set of all embeddings $\sigma : L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_v) = \mathcal{O}_p$ and $\sigma(L^\times) \cap \Gamma_i \neq \sigma(L^\times) \cap \Gamma_{i-1}$ if and only if $1 \le i \le r$ and $i \in I$.

Proposition 3.4. *If* $m := \max(0, r + d - n) \le |I| \le M := \min(d, r)$ *then* $O_{p,v,I}$ *is a non-empty* G_v*-orbit. The set* $\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}$ *is a disjoint union of* $O_{p,v,I}$*. In particular,* $\mathbb{Q}[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]_{G_v^\dagger} = \bigoplus_{s=m}^M \bigoplus_{p \in \mathcal{P}_L^s} \mathbb{Q}[\{\kappa(p) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} \kappa(v)\}]^{\binom{r}{s}}$ *and* $G_v\backslash\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\} = \coprod_{s=m}^M (\mathcal{P}_L^s)^{\amalg\binom{r}{s}}$.

Proof. Any element of $\sigma(L^\times)$ is either contained in $\mathcal{O}_v$, or its inverse is contained in $\mathcal{O}_v$, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_p := \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ is a valuation ring.[4] Clearly, $\mathcal{O}_p$ is a discrete valuation ring.

As $\sigma : L^\times/\mathcal{O}_p^\times \hookrightarrow F^\times/\mathcal{O}_v^\times$, one has $\mathrm{rk}(L^\times/\mathcal{O}_p^\times) \le M$. Let us check that $\mathrm{rk}(L^\times/\mathcal{O}_p^\times) \ge m$, and in particular, the inclusion $(L^\times/\mathcal{O}_p^\times) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \overset{\sigma}{\hookrightarrow} \overline{\sigma(L^\times)}/\overline{\sigma(L^\times)} \cap \mathcal{O}_v^\times \subseteq \Gamma$ is bijective. Let $x \in F^\times$ be algebraic over $\sigma(L)$ and $\sum_{j=0}^m a_j x^j = 0$ be a minimal polynomial of x over $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_p)$. Then there exist $0 \le i < j \le m$ such that $a_i a_j \neq 0$ and $v(a_i x^i) = v(a_j x^j)$, so $v(x) = \frac{v(a_i/a_j)}{j-i}$, i.e., the element $v(x) \in F^\times/\mathcal{O}_v^\times$ is in the image of $(L^\times/\mathcal{O}_p^\times) \otimes \frac{1}{m!}\mathbb{Z}$.

We may assume that $a_j = 1$ for some j. Thus, if $x \in \mathcal{O}_v$ then its image in $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{m}_v$ is algebraic over $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_p)/\sigma(\mathfrak{m}_p)$, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{m}_v \cong \overline{\mathcal{O}_p/\mathfrak{m}_p}$, and therefore, $p \in \mathcal{P}_L^r$, if $d = n$.

To check that $O_{p,v,I}$ is a G_v-orbit, we have to show that for any pair of its elements σ, τ there exists $\xi \in G_v$, extending $\tau\sigma^{-1} : \sigma(\mathcal{O}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau(\mathcal{O}_p)$. The embeddings $\sigma, \tau : L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ are such that $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_p), \tau(\mathcal{O}_p) \subset \mathcal{O}_v$ and $\sigma(L)^\times$ and $\tau(L)^\times$ span some subspaces in Γ in the same position with respect to the flag of isolated subgroups $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma_2 \subset \cdots \subset \Gamma_r = \Gamma$.

---

[4] Note, that the henselian property does not suffice. For instance, if $k(X) = k(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is embedded into the field of fractions of $\mathcal{O} := k[[T_1, \dots, T_N]]$, $x_j \mapsto T^{\alpha_j}$, $|\alpha_j| = 0$ then $k(X) \cap \mathcal{O} = k$, since it consists of homogeneous functions in $T_1, \dots, T_N$ of degree 0.

The set

$$S := \left\{ A \overset{\varphi}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_v \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} v(\varphi(x)) \geq v(\varphi(y)), \text{ if } v(x) \geq v(y) \text{ for } x, y \in A, \\ \sigma(\mathcal{O}_p) \subseteq A \subseteq \mathcal{O}_v, \quad \varphi|_{\sigma(\mathcal{O}_p)} = \tau\sigma^{-1} \end{array} \right\}$$

is non-empty, since it contains $(\sigma(\mathcal{O}_p) \overset{\tau\sigma^{-1}}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_v)$. According to Zorn's lemma, there are maximal elements in S, for instance, $B \overset{\psi}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_v$. Then B is integrally closed in $\mathcal{O}_v$, since for any $x \in \mathcal{O}_v$ integral over B an embedding ψ extends to $B[x] \subseteq \mathcal{O}_v$, even respecting the order,[5] since $\mathcal{O}_v$ is integrally closed in F. Thus, any element of $\mathcal{O}_v$ can be presented as a/b, where $a, b \in B$ and $v(a) \geq v(b)$. As ψ respects the order, it maps $\mathcal{O}_v$ into $\mathcal{O}_v$, i.e., $\mathcal{O}_v = B$ and $\psi \in G_v$, cf. p.11.

The set $O_{p,v,I}$ is non-empty, since, given an embedding $L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$, the valuation p extends to an element of $\mathcal{P}_F^r$, and the group G permutes the elements of $\mathcal{P}_F^r$ (Lemma 3.2). ☐

3.1. Valuations and maximal subgroups

Example. If $n = 1$ then to any valuation v the decomposition $\{k(C) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\} = C(F) \smallsetminus C(k) = \coprod_{C(k)} (\mathfrak{m}_v \smallsetminus \{0\})$ is associated.

Proposition 3.5. *If $n = 1$ then $O_{p,v} \cap O_{q,v'}$ is non-empty for any pair of distinct $v, v' \in \mathcal{P}_F^1$ and any pair of distinct points $p, q \in C(k)$ on a smooth proper curve C over k, i.e. there is an embedding $\sigma : k(C) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ such that $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_p) \subset \mathcal{O}_v$ and $\sigma(\mathcal{O}_q) \subset \mathcal{O}_{v'}$. (Here $O_{p,v} := O_{p,v,\{1\}}$.)*

Proof. We consider v and v' as a compatible system of points on smooth proper curves over k with the function fields embedded into F: if $C_\beta \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow C_\alpha$ then $v_\beta \mapsto v_\alpha$ and $v'_\beta \mapsto v'_\alpha$. One needs to check that there exist β and a map $C_\beta \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow C$ such that $v_\beta \mapsto p$ and $v'_\beta \mapsto q$.

Choose a non-constant function $x \in \mathcal{O}(C \smallsetminus \{p\})$, i.e. a surjective morphism $C \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ sending to ∞ only p. Let $C' \longrightarrow C$ be a cover such that the composition $C' \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a Galois cover with the group A. For some α such that $v_\alpha \neq v'_\alpha$ choose a surjection $C_\alpha \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ such that $v_\alpha \mapsto \infty$ and $v'_\alpha \mapsto x(q)$. Consider the normalization D of an irreducible component of $C' \times_{\mathbb{P}^1} C_\alpha$. The surjection $D \longrightarrow C_\alpha$ is isomorphic to the surjection $C_\beta \longrightarrow C_\alpha$ for some β. Let $\pi : C_\beta \longrightarrow C'$ be the projection. As A acts transitively on the fibres of the composition $C' \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, there is an element $\gamma \in A$ such that $\gamma\pi(v'_\beta)$ belongs to the preimage of q. Then the composition $C_\beta \xrightarrow{\gamma\pi} C' \longrightarrow C$ maps v_β to p, and v'_β to q. ☐

---

[5] i.e., if $\sum_{s=0}^m a_s x^s = 0$, $a_s \in B$ and $v(x) = \frac{v(a_i/a_j)}{j-i}$ for some $i < j$ then one can choose $y \in \mathcal{O}_v$ such that $\sum_{s=0}^m \psi(a_s) y^s = 0$ and $v(y) = \frac{v(\psi(a_i/a_j))}{j-i}$.

Proposition 3.6. *For any pair of distinct $v, v' \in \mathcal{P}^1_F$ the subgroup H, generated by G_v and $G_{v'}$, acts transitively on $\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}$, i.e. H is dense in G.*

Proof. Let L be an extension of k and $w, w' : L^\times/k^\times \xrightarrow{\neq 0} \mathbb{Q}$ be a pair of discrete valuations. If $\mathcal{O}^\times_w \subseteq \mathcal{O}^\times_{w'}$ in L then $\mathcal{O}^\times_{w'}/\mathcal{O}^\times_w$ is the kernel of $\mathbb{Q} \supseteq L^\times/\mathcal{O}^\times_w \longrightarrow \to L^\times/\mathcal{O}^\times_{w'} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$, which is evidently injective, so $w = w'$. Let $w \neq w'$. Then for any $x \in \mathcal{O}^\times_w$ one has either $x \in \mathcal{O}_{w'}$, or $x^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_{w'}$, that is there is some $t \in \mathcal{O}^\times_w \cap \mathcal{O}_{w'}$ such that $t \notin \mathcal{O}^\times_{w'}$. Fix such t and $t^{1/N}$ for all integers $N \geq 1$. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots \in \mathcal{O}^\times_w$ be a lifting of a transcendence base of $\kappa(w)$ over $k(t)$. Set $k_0 := k$ and define inductively a strictly increasing sequence of algebraically closed subfields in $\{0\} \cup (\mathcal{O}^\times_w \cap \mathcal{O}^\times_{w'}) \subset L$ as follows. For any $i \geq 1$ there exist $P \in k_{i-1}[T]$, an integer $N \geq 1$ and $M \in \mathbb{Q}^\times_+$ such that $y_i := t^{-M}(x_i^{w'(t)} - t^{w'(x_i)}P(t^{1/N})) \in \mathcal{O}^\times_{w'}$ and $y_i \notin k_{i-1} + \mathfrak{m}_{w'}$. Then $y_1, y_2, \dots$ is another lifting of a transcendence base of $\kappa(w)$ over $k(t)$ in $\mathcal{O}^\times_w$. Set $k_i := \overline{k_{i-1}(y_i)}$ and $k' = \bigcup_{i\geq 1} k_i$. Then $\kappa(w)$ is algebraic over the reduction of k' modulo $\mathfrak{m}_w$ and $\kappa(w')$ is algebraic over the reduction of k' modulo $\mathfrak{m}_{w'}$. This shows that we are reduced to the case of $n = 1$.

By Proposition 3.5, for any $\xi : L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ the map $G_v \times G_{v'} \longrightarrow \{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}$, given by $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \sigma\tau\xi$, is surjective. ☐

If $n = r = 1$ define $\varphi : G^1_v \longrightarrow \Gamma \cup \{+\infty\}$ by $\sigma \mapsto v(\sigma x/x - 1)$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{m} \smallsetminus \{0\}$, or $x \in F \smallsetminus \mathcal{O}_v$.

Lemma 3.7. *φ is independent of x and determines a bounded non-archimedian bi-invariant distance on G^1_v. The logarithmic distance transforms the adjoint G_v-action on G^1_v to the natural G_v-action on $\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. Independence of x: if $\sigma \neq 1$, $y = \sum_{j\geq 1} a_j x^{j/N} \neq 0$ for some $a_j \in k$ and $\sigma x/x = 1 + ax^\alpha$, where $a \in \mathcal{O}^\times_v$ and $\alpha > 0$, then $\sigma y - y = \sum_{j\geq 1} a_j x^{j/N}((1 + ax^\alpha)^{j/N} - 1)$, i.e.

$$\sigma y/y - 1 = \frac{v(y)}{v(x)} ax^\alpha + o(x^\alpha). \tag{3.1}$$

Thus, $v(\sigma y/y - 1) = v(x^\alpha) = v(\sigma x/x - 1)$.

From the equality $\sigma\tau x/x - 1 = (\sigma(\tau x)/\tau x - 1)\tau x/x + \tau x/x - 1$ we get that $\varphi(\sigma\tau) \geq \min(\varphi(\sigma), \varphi(\tau))$, $\varphi(\xi\tau\xi^{-1}) = \xi\varphi(\tau)$ for any $\xi \in G_v$ (in particular, $\varphi(\xi\tau\xi^{-1}) = \varphi(\tau)$ for any $\xi \in G^\circ_v$) and $\varphi(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma^{-1})$: $v(\sigma^{-1}x/x - 1) = v(x/\sigma x - 1) = \varphi(\sigma)$. ☐

Lemma 3.8. *For any integer $N \geq 1$ the self-map of G^1_v, $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^N$, is injective.*

Proof. This follows from the identity $(f + h)^{\circ N} = f^{\circ N} + Nh + o(h)$ for any formal Puiseux series $f = x + o(x)$ and $h = o(x)$ which can be checked by induction on N: for $N = 1$ this is evident; $(f + h)^{\circ(N+1)} = (f + h)(f^{\circ N} + Nh + o(h)) = f(f^{\circ N} + Nh + o(h)) + h + o(h) = f^{\circ(N+1)} + f'(f^{\circ N}) \cdot Nh + o(h) + h + o(h) = f^{\circ(N+1)} + (N + 1) \cdot h + o(h)$. ☐

Let $G_v^1(\beta) := \{\sigma \in G_v^1 \mid \varphi(\sigma) \geq \beta\}$, where $\beta \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{R}$. This is a normal subgroup in G_v°. Then $G_v^1 = G_v^1(0) = G_v^1(0)^+$, where $G_v^1(\beta)^+ := \bigcup_{\gamma > \beta} G_v^1(\gamma) = \{\sigma \in G_v^1 \mid \varphi(\sigma) > \beta\}$. Clearly, $G_v^1(\beta) \neq G_v^1(\gamma)$, if $\beta \neq \gamma$.

Lemma 3.9. *There is a canonical isomorphism* $G_v^1(\beta)/G_v^1(\beta)^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{m}^{[\beta]})$, *where*

$$\mathfrak{m}^{[\beta]} = \{x \in \mathfrak{m} \mid v(x) \geq \beta\}/\{x \in \mathfrak{m} \mid v(x) > \beta\} \cong \begin{cases} k, & \textit{if } \beta \in \Gamma \textit{ and } \beta > 0 \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Proof. It is clear from the formula (3.1) that any element $\sigma \in G_v^1(\beta)$ induces a homomorphism $\Gamma \longrightarrow \mathfrak{m}^{[\beta]}$, $v(y) \mapsto \sigma y/y - 1$.

This gives a surjective homomorphism $G_v^1(\beta)/G_v^1(\beta)^+ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{m}^{[\beta]})$: $\sigma\xi \longmapsto (v(x) \mapsto \sigma\xi x/x - 1 = \sigma(\xi x/x - 1)\sigma x/x + (\sigma x/x - 1) \equiv (\xi x/x - 1) + (\sigma x/x - 1))$.

Let us check its injectivity: $\sigma x = x(1 + ax^\beta + x^\beta h)$, $h \in \mathfrak{m}$. Let $\tau[x(1 + ax^\beta + x^\beta h)] := x(1 + ax^\beta)$. Then $\tau\sigma x/x = 1 + ax^\beta$ and, if we set $y := x(1 + ax^\beta)$ then $x(1 + ax^\beta + x^\beta h) = y + o(y^{\beta+1})$, and thus, $\tau \in G_v^1(\beta)^+$. Finally, $G_v^1(\beta)/G_v^1(\beta)^+ = \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, \mathfrak{m}^{[\beta]})$. ☐

Lemma 3.10. $G_v^1(\beta)$ *is surjective over* G/G° *for any* $\beta \in \Gamma \otimes \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. As G_v is maximal and does not contain G°, it suffices to show that the subgroup generated by $G_v^1(\beta)$ and G° contains G_v. A choice of a section $s : \Gamma \longrightarrow F^\times$ of the projection $v : F^\times \longrightarrow \Gamma$ determines an additive section $\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, k^\times) \longrightarrow G_v^\circ \cap G^\circ$ of the projection $G_v^\circ \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma, k^\times)$. A choice of $x \in \mathfrak{m} \cap s(\Gamma)$ gives a bijection of sets G_v^1 and $\mathfrak{m}$: $\mathfrak{m} \ni m : x \mapsto x(1 + m)$. The elements $(x \mapsto (1 + x^\alpha)^{1/\alpha} - 1) \in G_v \cap G^\circ$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}_+^\times$, determine a set-theoretic section of the projection $G_v \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_+^\times$.

It remains to check that the subgroup generated by $G_v^1(\beta)$ and $G_v^1 \cap G^\circ$ contains G_v^1. Clearly, $x(1 + ax^\alpha)^{-1/\alpha} \in G_v^1 \cap G^\circ$. If an element of G_v^1 is presented by $h = x(1 + ax^\alpha + o(x^\alpha)) \in x(1 + \mathfrak{m}) \cap k[[x^{1/N}]]$ then $h(1 + a\alpha h^\alpha)^{-1/\alpha} = x(1 + o(x^\alpha)) \in x(1 + \mathfrak{m}) \cap k[[x^{1/N}]]$. So the composition of h with an appropriate element of $G_v^1 \cap G^\circ$ will be in $G_v^1(\beta)$. ☐

4. Valuations and associated functors

The aim of this section is to associate a sheaf in the smooth topology to any smooth representation of G. This can be achieved in the following way. For each smooth k-variety X, a scheme point $p \in X$ and an embedding $k(X_p) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ of the function field of the connected component $X_p \ni p$ of X, define a collection $J_{X,p}$ of subfields in F and then set $\mathcal{W}_{X,p} := W^{G_{F|k(X_p)}} \cap \left(\sum_{F'' \in J_{X,p}} W^{G_{F|F''}}\right)$. This is what is called "globalization", cf. §4.1.

Obviously, for any $q \geq 0$ we want to get the sheaf of differential q-forms $\Omega^q_{\mathcal{O}|k}$ from the smooth representation $\Omega^q_{F|k}$ of G. For the representation $\bigotimes_F^q \Omega^1_{F|k}$

there are more options: one 'homotopy invariant' $\bigotimes^q_{\mathcal{O}} \Omega^1_{\mathcal{O}|k}$ (which is more reasonable), and another one with the Galois descent property. Here 'homotopy invariant' means that for any projective bundle $X \longrightarrow Y$ with a proper base Y the induced morphism of sections is an isomorphism.

On the other hand, it is natural to ask, whether the constructed sheaves are functorial with respect to the regular embeddings. In some extremal situation this is discussed in §4.2.

4.1. The "globalization" functor

For any collection J of subfields $F'' \subset F$ the additive functor

$$\Phi_J : W \mapsto \sum_{F'' \in J} W^{G_{F|F''}}$$

on $\mathcal{S}m_G$ preserves surjections if any element of J is either of infinite transcendence degree over k, or is contained in an element of J of arbitrarily big finite transcendence degree over k. In general, Φ_J preserves the injections.

Remark. If J consists of all purely transcendental extensions of k then $\Phi_J(\Omega^q_{F|k}) = \Omega^q_{F|k}$ if $n > q$ and $\Phi_J(\Omega^q_{F|k,\mathrm{reg}}) = 0$ for any $q \geq 1$, so Φ_J is not exact in general, even if $n = \infty$.

In particular, for a discrete valuation $v \in \mathcal{P}^r_F$ consider the functor

$$(-)_v : \mathcal{S}m_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_{G_v},$$

$W \mapsto W_v := \sum_{F'' \subset \mathcal{O}_v} W^{G_{F|F''}} = \sum_{\sigma \in G_v} W^{G_{F|\sigma(F')}} = \sum_{\sigma \in G^\dagger_v} W^{G_{F|\sigma(F')}} \subseteq W$ for any algebraically closed subfield $F' \subset \mathcal{O}_v$ such that $\mathrm{tr.deg}(F'|k) = \mathrm{tr.deg}(F|k) - r$.

Set $\Gamma_r(W) := \bigcap_{v \in \mathcal{P}^r_F} W_v$ and $\Gamma := \Gamma_1$, so $\Gamma_r : \mathcal{S}m_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_G$ are additive functors.

Example. $\mathbb{Q}[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]_v = \mathbb{Q}[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_v\}]$ and $(F[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}])_v = \mathcal{O}_v[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_v\}]$ (and all these modules are zero if $\mathrm{tr.deg}(L|k) > n - r$).

If $n = \infty$ then for any open subgroup $U \subseteq G$ the module $\mathbb{Q}[G/U]_v$ (resp., $(F[G/U])_v$) is the direct sum of $\mathbb{Q} \cdot [\sigma]$ (resp., of $\mathcal{O}_v \cdot [\sigma]$) over all $[\sigma] \in G/U$ such that $\sigma U \sigma^{-1}$ contains $G_{F|L}$ for some subfield $L \subset \mathcal{O}_v$. (*Proof.* There are no proper open subgroups of finite index in $G_{F|F''}$ for any algebraically closed subfield F'' over which F is of infinite transcendence degree, cf. [R1]. Thus, either $G_{F|F''}$ fixes the coset $[\sigma]$, or the $G_{F|F''}$-orbit of $[\sigma]$ is infinite. $\square$)

In particular, $(F[G/U])_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} F = F[G/U]$ if and only if $U = G$. (Suppose that $U \neq G$ and $F[G/U]$ surjects naturally onto $F[G/U']$ for a maximal open subgroup $U' = G_{\{F,L\}|k}$ containing U, where L is an algebraically closed subfield in $F|k$ of finite transcendence degree, and $(F[G/U])_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} F$ surjects naturally onto $(F[G/U'])_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} F$. However, $(F[G/U'])_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} F = F[\{\text{subfields } F'' \subset \mathcal{O}_v \mid F'' \cong L\}] \neq F[G/U']$. $\square$)

Lemma 4.1. *Let $v : F^\times/k^\times \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ be a discrete valuation of F, and $k \subseteq L \subseteq F$ be a subfield. Set*

$$L^h_v := \{a \in F \mid \text{for any } \gamma \in \Gamma \text{ there is } a_\gamma \in L \text{ such that } v(a - a_\gamma) > \gamma\} \subseteq \overline{L}.$$

Then $W^{G_{F|L_v^h}} = W^{G_{F|L}\cap G_v}$ *for any smooth* G*-set* W*. However, the inclusion* $G_{F|L_v^h} \supset G_{F|L}\cap G_v$ *is strict, unless* $\Gamma = 0$*.*

Proof. Let $w \in W^{G_{F|L}\cap G_v}$. By Proposition 2.6, $G_{F|L}\cap G_v \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_w \subseteq G_{\{F,K\}|k}$ for $K = \alpha(\mathrm{Stab}_w) \in A\Pi$. This means that $K \subset \overline{L}$ (otherwise, for any $f \in K \smallsetminus (K\cap\overline{L})$ and any $t \notin \overline{L}$ with $v(t) \gg 0$ there is $\sigma \in G_{F|\overline{L}}\cap G_v^\dagger$ such that $\sigma f = f+t \notin K$), i.e. $G_{F|\overline{L}} \subseteq G_{F|K} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_w$. On the other hand, $G_{F|L}\cap G_v \twoheadrightarrow G_{\overline{L}|L_v^h}$, and therefore, $\mathrm{Stab}_w \supseteq G_{F|L_v^h}$. ☐

Lemma 4.2. *In the setup of Lemma 4.1 the sequence* $1 \longrightarrow G_{F|L}\cap G_v^\dagger \longrightarrow G_{F|L}\cap G_v \longrightarrow G_{\kappa(v)|\kappa(v|_L)} \longrightarrow 1$ *is exact.* ☐

Lemma 4.3. *If* $n = \infty$ *then there are canonical isomorphisms*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(W, W') \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Hom}_{G_v}(W_v, W') = \mathrm{Hom}_{G_v}(W_v, W'_v)$$

for any $W, W' \in \mathcal{S}m_G$*. In particular, the functor* $(-)_v : \mathcal{S}m_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_{G_v}$*, is fully faithful.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, $W'^{G_{F|F'}} = W'^{G_{F|F'}\cap G_v}$. The G_v-module W_v is generated by its subspace $W^{G_{F|F'}}$ for any algebraically closed subfield $F' \subset \mathcal{O}_v$ of infinite transcendence degree, so

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{G_v}(W_v, W') &\subseteq \mathrm{Hom}_{G_{\{F,F'\}|k}\cap G_v}(W^{G_{F|F'}}, W') \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_{G_{\{F,F'\}|k}\cap G_v}(W^{G_{F|F'}}, W'^{G_{F|F'}\cap G_v}) = \mathrm{Hom}_{G_{F'|k}}(W^{G_{F|F'}}, W'^{G_{F|F'}}).\end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_{G_v}(W_v, W') = \mathrm{Hom}_{G_v}(W_v, W'_v)$.

As $W \mapsto W^{G_{F|F'}}$ gives an equivalence of categories $\mathcal{S}m_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_{G_{F'|k}}$, cf. [R1, Lemma 6.7], the composition $\mathrm{Hom}_G(W, W') \xrightarrow{\gamma} \mathrm{Hom}_{G_v}(W_v, W') \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{G_{F'|k}}(W^{G_{F|F'}}, W'^{G_{F|F'}})$ is an isomorphism, i.e. γ is also an isomorphism. ☐

Remark. Clearly, $(-)_v$ does not preserve the irreducibility: the surjection $W_v \longrightarrow H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v)$ is usually non-injective and nontrivial, e.g. $(F/k)_v = \mathcal{O}_v/k \supsetneqq \mathfrak{m}_v = \mathfrak{p}_1 \supsetneqq \mathfrak{p}_2 \supsetneqq \cdots \supsetneqq \mathfrak{p}_r$ has length $r+1$. However, $(-)_v$ preserves the existence of a cyclic vector: if $W \in \mathcal{S}m_G$ is cyclic then the $G_{F'|k}$-module $W^{G_{F|F'}}$ admits some cyclic vector w (as $H^0(G_{F|F'}, -) : \mathcal{S}m_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_{G_{F'|k}}$ is an equivalence of categories), and thus, w generates the G_v-module W_v. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that if W is irreducible and W_v is semisimple then W_v is irreducible.

Lemma 4.4. *Let* J *be a collection of algebraically closed subfields* $F'' \subset F$ *of countable transcendence degree over* k*. The following conditions on* J *are equivalent:*

- *the functor* Φ_J *is exact;*
- *for any integer* $N \geq 1$*, any extension* L *of* k *of finite type, any collection of embeddings* $\xi_j : L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F_j$ *with* $F_j \in J$ *for any* $1 \leq j \leq N$*, and any* $\sigma : L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$

there is an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$ *such that* $\alpha\xi_j = 0$ *for any* $1 \le j \le N$ *and* $\alpha\sigma - \sigma \in \mathbb{Q}[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F'' \mid F'' \in J\}]$*;*

- *for any irreducible* k*-variety* X*, any integer* $N \ge 1$*, any collection of dominant* k*-morphisms* $f_j : X \longrightarrow Y_j$ *with* $\dim Y_j < \dim X$ *for any* $0 \le j \le N$ *such that* f_0 *does not factor through* f_j *for any* $1 \le j \le N$*, and any generic point* $\sigma : k(Y_0) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ *there is a generic 0-cycle* $\alpha \in X(F)$ *such that* $(f_j)_*\alpha = 0$ *for any* $1 \le j \le N$ *and* $(f_0)_*\alpha - \sigma \in \mathbb{Q}[\{k(Y_0) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F'' \mid F'' \in J\}]$*.*

Proof. Φ_J is exact if and only if for any exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow E$ in $\mathcal{S}m_G$ its subsequence $\Phi_J(A) \longrightarrow \Phi_J(B) \longrightarrow \Phi_J(E)$ is exact, i.e. $\delta_J(A \subset B) := (A \bigcap \Phi_J(B))/\Phi_J(A)$ vanishes. We are looking for inclusions $A \subset B$ such that $\delta_J(A \subset B) \neq 0$. Suppose that an element $\gamma \in A \bigcap \Phi_J(B)$ does not belong to $\Phi_J(A)$. Let $\gamma = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \gamma_j$ for some $N \ge 2$ and $\gamma_j \in B^{G_{F|L_j}}$, where L_j are some subfields of finite type over k of some elements of J. Then we can replace B with the submodule generated by $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$, and replace A with the submodule generated by γ.

Then $A \hookrightarrow B$ is dominated by the diagonal morphism

$$\begin{tikzcd} C \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d] & D := C^{\oplus N} \arrow[d, "\pi"] \\ A \arrow[r, hook] & B, \end{tikzcd}$$

where L is the compositum of $L_1, \dots, L_N$ and $C := \mathbb{Q}[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]$, by sending the generator $id : L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ of C to γ and the generator of j-th multiple of $D = C^{\oplus N}$ to γ_j.

Let $K := \ker(\pi : D \twoheadrightarrow B)$. The inclusion $\Phi_J(A) \subset A \bigcap \Phi_J(B)$ is dominated by the inclusion $\Phi_J(C) \subset \Delta^{-1}(K + \Phi_J(C)^{\oplus N})$, since $\Delta^{-1}(K + \Phi_J(C)^{\oplus N})$ coincides with

$$\{\beta = \beta_j + \varkappa_j \in C \mid 1 \le j \le N,\ \beta_j \in \Phi_J(C),\ \varkappa = (\varkappa_1, \dots, \varkappa_N) \in K\},$$

so $\delta_J(A \subset B) = \Delta^{-1}(K + \Phi_J(D))/(\Phi_J(C) + \Delta^{-1}(K))$, i.e. $\Phi_J(A) = A \bigcap \Phi_J(B)$ if and only if $\Phi_J(C) + \Delta^{-1}(K) = \Delta^{-1}(K + \Phi_J(C)^{\oplus N})$.

Let $\beta \in \Delta^{-1}(K + \Phi_J(D)) \subseteq C$, so $\beta = \beta_j + \varkappa_j$ for some $\beta_j \in \Phi_J(C)$, $1 \le j \le N$, and $\varkappa = (\varkappa_1, \dots, \varkappa_N) \in K$. If β has a non-zero image in $\delta_J(A \subset B)$ then it has also a non-zero image in $\Delta^{-1}(K' + \Phi_J(D))/(\Phi_J(C) + \Delta^{-1}(K'))$, where K' is the G-submodule in K generated by $\varkappa$. Replacing A with $C/\Delta^{-1}(K')$ and B with D/K' we can further suppose that K is generated by $\varkappa$. If there is $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$ such that $\alpha\beta_j = 0$ for all $1 \le j \le N$ and $\alpha\beta - \beta \in \Phi_J(C)$ then $\alpha\varkappa = \Delta\alpha\beta \in K$, so $\beta = (\beta - \alpha\beta) + \alpha\beta \in \Phi_J(C) + \Delta^{-1}(K)$.

Conversely, let $A = C/\Delta^{-1}(K)$ and $B = C^{\oplus(N+1)}/K$, where $C = \mathbb{Q}[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]$ and K generated by $\varkappa = (\sigma, \sigma - \xi_1, \dots, \sigma - \xi_N)$. Clearly,

$$\Delta\sigma = \varkappa + (0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) = \varkappa + (0, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_N) \in K + \Phi_J(C)^{\oplus(N+1)}.$$

If Φ_J is exact then σ belongs, in fact, to $\Delta^{-1}(K) + \Phi_J(C)$, i.e. $\sigma = \beta + \psi$ for some $\beta \in \Phi_J(C)$ and $\psi \in \Delta^{-1}(K)$. Then, as $\varkappa$ is a generator of K, there exists

$\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$ such that $\alpha\varkappa = (\psi, \dots, \psi)$, and therefore, $\sigma - \beta = \alpha\sigma = \alpha(\sigma - \xi_j)$ for any $1 \le j \le N$, so $\alpha\xi_j = 0$ and $\alpha\sigma = \sigma - \beta$. Clearly, this is equivalent to the second condition of Lemma.

The second and the third conditions are related as follows. X is a variety with the function field equal to the compositum of all $\xi_j(L)$ and $\sigma(L)$, the morphisms f_j are induced by the inclusions of the function fields. Clearly, the direct image of 0-cycles to j-th multiple in the geometric case becomes the action on ξ_j in the algebraic case. $\square$

‘Negative’ remarks. 1. Given a subset $X \subset Y_1 \times Y_2$, it may well happen that the induced homomorphism $\alpha : \mathbb{Z}[X] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[Y_1] \times \mathbb{Z}[Y_2]$ is injective, even if all the fibres of both projections are infinite.

To see what is going on, and to construct an example, we associate to our data $X \subset Y_1 \times Y_2$ a graph Γ with coloured edges in the following way. The vertices of Γ are elements of Y_1, the colours of the edges of Γ are elements of Y_2. Any pair of vertices is joined by at most one edge of any given colour. A pair of vertices $a \neq b$ is joined by an edge of colour c if and only if $(a, c), (b, c) \in X$.

We claim that if α is injective then any pair of vertices is joined by at most one edge. Indeed, otherwise, if a and b are joined by colours c and d then $(a, c) - (b, c) - (a, d) + (b, d)$ is a non-zero element in the kernel of α.

Clearly, if a and b, b and c are joined by colour d then a and c are joined by colour d (transitivity).

Suppose that there is a cycle in Γ, say, with (pairwise distinct) vertices a_i and edges of colours b_i, $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where a_i and a_{i+1} are joined by an edge of colour b_i. Then $(a_i, b_i), (a_i, b_{i-1}) \in X$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, and therefore, $\sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} [(a_i, b_i) - (a_i, b_{i-1})]$ is an element in the kernel of α. It should be zero, i.e., $b_1 = \cdots = b_n$. In other words, any cycle in Γ should be monocoloured.

Similarly, suppose that there is a cycle of colours in Γ, i.e., a collection vertices a_i, $1 \le i \le n+2$, and (pairwise distinct) colours b_j, $1 \le j \le n$, where a_i and a_{i+1} are joined by an edge of colour b_i for $1 \le i \le n$ and a_{n+1} and a_{n+2} are joined by an edge of colour b_1. Then $(a_i, b_i), (a_{i+1}, b_i) \in X$ for $1 \le i \le n$, and $(a_{n+1}, b_1), (a_{n+2}, b_1) \in X$, so therefore, $\sum_{i=2}^{n} [(a_i, b_i) - (a_{i+1}, b_i)] - (a_2, b_1) + (a_{n+1}, b_1)$ is a non-zero element in the kernel of α, leading to contradiction. In other words, for any colour $b \in Y_2$ any pair of edges of colour b in any connected component of Γ has a common vertex.

Now we produce an example of Γ. The set of its vertices is constructed inductively, as the union of an increasing sequence of sets S_i. Let S_0 be a set (of vertices). We join the elements of S_0 pairwise by the colour 0. For each $s \in S_0$ fix a set $S_{s,0}$. We join the elements of $\{s\} \coprod S_{s,0}$ pairwise by the colour $(s, 1)$. For each $s \in S_1 := S_0 \sqcup \coprod_{s' \in S_0} S_{s,0}$ fix a set $S_{s,1}$. We join the elements of $\{s\} \coprod S_{s,1}$ pairwise by the colour $(s, 2)$. For each $s \in S_2 := S_1 \sqcup \coprod_{s' \in S_1} S_{s,1}$ fix a set $S_{s,2}$. We join the elements of $\{s\} \coprod S_{s,2}$ pairwise by the colour $(s, 3)$. And so on. We thus get a graph with vertices $Y_1 = \bigcup_{j \ge 1} S_j$ and colours of edges $Y_2 = \{0\} \sqcup \coprod_{j \ge 0} S_j$.

2. Consider a map $f : X \longrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{N} Y_j$. It induces a homomorphism $\alpha = (f_{j*}) : \mathbb{Z}[X] \longrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{Z}[Y_j]$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{Q} \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & \mathbb{Q}[X] \arrow[l, "\deg"'] \arrow[r, "id"] \arrow[d, "\alpha"'] & \mathbb{Q}[X] \arrow[d, equal] \\
\prod_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{Q} & \prod_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{Q}[Y_j] \arrow[l, "\deg"'] \arrow[r, dashed, "\varphi"] & \mathbb{Q}[X]
\end{tikzcd}$$

is commutative. If the induced homomorphism α is injective then f is an inclusion and there are maps $\varphi_j : Y_j \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_j(f_j(P)) = [P]$ for any $P \in X$.

Let us show that in the situations, we are interested in, one can arrange so that there will exist maps $\varphi_j : Y_j \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[X]$ such that $\sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_j(f_j(P_j)) = 0$. In other words, we can always assume that the induced homomorphism $(f_{j*}) : \mathbb{Q}[X]^{\circ} \longrightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{N} \mathbb{Q}[Y_j]^{\circ}$ is not surjective. Changing slightly notations, let an affine variety $X \subset \mathbb{A}_k^M$ be given by some polynomial equations, one of which is $P = 0$. There is an integer $N \geq 2$ and linear functions $f_1, \dots, f_N$ on $\mathbb{A}_k^M$, and coefficients $a_i \in k$ and $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P = \sum_j a_i f_i^{n_i}$. Choose a $\mathbb{Q}$-linear embedding $\lambda : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[X(F)]$, and set $\varphi_j = \lambda(a_i x^{n_i}) : F \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}[X(F)]$.

3. The group homomorphisms is a source of non-injectiveness of α, cf. Proposition 4.5 below. One could ask, whether in a given situation one can find a group structure on X so that the projections to Y_i became homomorphisms. This is not always possible. Namely, if $f = (f_1, f_2) : X \longrightarrow Y_1 \times Y_2$ is a homomorphism then $f_1^{-1}(a) = \alpha \ker f_1$, so $f_2(f_1^{-1}(a)) = \beta f_2(\ker f_1)$. Thus for any $a, b \in Y_1$ one has either $f_2(f_1^{-1}(a)) = f_2(f_1^{-1}(b))$, or $f_2(f_1^{-1}(a)) \cap f_2(f_1^{-1}(b)) = \emptyset$. In the following example this property does not hold, so f is not a homomorphism. Let L_1, L_2 be finitely generated extensions of k, $X = \{L_1 \otimes_k L_2 \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}$, $Y_j = \{L_j \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}$ and $f_j : X \longrightarrow Y_j$ the restriction maps. Then $f_2(f_1^{-1}(\sigma)) = \{\xi : L_2 \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F \mid \exists \hat{\xi} : L_1 \otimes_k L_2 \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ such that $\hat{\xi}|_{L_1} = \sigma\} = \{\xi : L_2 \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F \mid \xi(L_2)$ and $\sigma(L_1)$ are in general position$\}$, so the intersections of $f_2(f_1^{-1}(\sigma))$ and $f_2(f_1^{-1}(\tau))$ are non-empty for all σ, τ. On the other hand, $f_2(f_1^{-1}(\sigma)) \neq f_2(f_1^{-1}(\tau))$ if σ, τ are in general position.

In view of Lemma 4.4 and Proposition 4.5, there are some reasons to expect that $(-)_v$ is exact. Namely, the second of equivalent conditions of Lemma 4.4 is satisfied for σ in general position with respect to the compositum of all $\xi_j(L)$. Also, this condition is satisfied in the following situation. Let L be the function field of an algebraic k-group A, and B be an algebraic k-subgroup of A^{N+1} of dimension $> \dim A$, surjective over all multiples A. Suppose that ξ_j and σ are induced by a generic point $\xi : k(B) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ and the projections $B \subseteq A^{N+1} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_j} A$.

(*Example.* L is purely transcendental of degree one and ξ_j sends a generator of L to $x_0 + \lambda_j \cdot t$ for some $\lambda_j \in k$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, and σ sends a generator of L to t, where $x_0 \in \mathcal{O}_v \smallsetminus (k + \mathfrak{m}_v)$ and $t \in \mathfrak{m}_v \smallsetminus \{0\}$. Then $A \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,k}$ and $B \cong \mathbb{G}_{a,k} \times \mathbb{G}_{a,k}$.)

Proof. We need an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[G]$ such that ${\mathrm{pr}_j}_*\alpha\xi = 0$ for $1 \le j \le N$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{0*}\alpha\xi = 0$.

Assume $\lambda_0 = 1$ and $\lambda_{N+1} = 0$ and that $\lambda_j \in k$ are pairwise distinct for $0 \le j \le N+1$. Let $H \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{N+1}$ be generated by $e_0, \dots, e_N$ and $H_s = H/\langle e_0, \dots, \widehat{e_s}, \dots, e_N\rangle$ for all $0 \le s \le N$. We consider the characters of H_s as characters of H: $H_s^\vee \subset H^\vee$. Fix a collection of elements $y_\chi \in F$, parametrized by the characters $\chi \in H^\vee$, linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ and such that

$$y_1 + \sum_{s=0}^{N} \sum_{1 \ne \chi \in H_s^\vee} y_\chi = x_0 \quad \text{and} \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sum_{1 \ne \chi \in (H/\langle e_1 - e_0, \dots, e_N - e_0\rangle)^\vee} y_\chi - \sum_{s=0}^{N} \lambda_s^{-1} \cdot \sum_{1 \ne \chi \in H_s^\vee} y_\chi = t. \tag{4.2}$$

For a character $\chi : H \longrightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ set $f_\chi = \sum_{h \in H} \chi(h) \cdot h \in k[H]$, so $gf_\chi = \chi(g) \cdot f_\chi$ for any $g \in H$. Let $w = \sum_{h \in H} w_h \cdot h = \sum_{\chi \in (H/\langle e_1 - e_0, \dots, e_N - e_0\rangle)^\vee} y_\chi \cdot f_\chi + \sum_{s=1}^{N} (1 - \lambda_s^{-1}) \cdot \sum_{1 \ne \chi \in H_s^\vee} y_\chi \cdot f_\chi$ and $x = \sum_{h \in H} x_h \cdot h = y_1 \cdot f_1 + \sum_{s=0}^{N} \sum_{1 \ne \chi \in H_s^\vee} y_\chi \cdot f_\chi$.

One can rewrite the coordinates of w and of x as follows:

$$w_h = \sum_{\chi \in (H/\langle e_1 - e_0, \dots, e_N - e_0\rangle)^\vee} y_\chi \cdot \chi(h) + \sum_{s=1}^{N} (1 - \lambda_s^{-1}) \cdot \sum_{1 \ne \chi \in H_s^\vee} y_\chi \cdot \chi(h),$$

$$x_h = y_1 + \sum_{s=0}^{N} \sum_{1 \ne \chi \in H_s^\vee} y_\chi \cdot \chi(h).$$

As y_χ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Q}^{\mathrm{ab}}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$, the coordinates of w and of x are pairwise distinct. In particular, their stabilizers in $\mathfrak{S}_H$ are trivial.

For some $1 \ne \chi_0 \in H_0^\vee$ one can choose $y_\chi \in F' \subset \mathcal{O}_v$ algebraically independent over $k(x_0)$ for $\chi \ne 1, \chi_0$. Then define y_{χ_0} by (4.2), and define y_1 by (4.1). Clearly, y_1 is transcendental over $k(y_\chi \mid \chi \ne 1)$, so (i) $w_h - x_h \in \mathcal{O}_v \smallsetminus (k + \mathfrak{m}_v)$, (ii) x_h and w_h are algebraically independent over k for any $h \in H$, (iii) x_h and w_{h-e_0} are algebraically independent over k for any $h \in H$.

Then we define embeddings $\sigma_h, \tau_h : k(x_0, t) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ by $\sigma_h x_0 = \tau_h x_0 = x_h$, $\sigma_h t = w_h - x_h$ and $\tau_h t = w_{h-e_0} - x_{h-e_0}$ (and extend them arbitrarily to elements of G). Then the element $\alpha = \sum_{h \in H} (\sigma_h - \tau_h)$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 4.4. □

Slightly more generally, suppose we are given

- a finite subset S of the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(k)$,
- a finite subset $T \subset \mathbb{Z} \smallsetminus \{\pm 1\}$ containing a non-zero element, and
- a collection of rational functions $(\varphi_j(Y))_{j \in T}$.

Then we get a homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[F^\times \times F\smallsetminus k] \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[F]^{\widetilde{S}} \oplus \mathbb{Z}[F]^T \oplus \mathbb{Z}[F^\times]$, $[(X,Y)] \mapsto \big(([aX-bY])_{(a:b)\in S}, ([X^j\varphi_j(Y)])_{j\in T}, [X/Y]\big)$, for the pull-back $\widetilde{S}$ of S in the set of non-zero pairs in k.

Let us construct a series of elements in its kernel. Let d be the least common multiple of the numbers $|j|$ for all non-zero $j \in T$, and P be the set of prime divisors of d. Replacing S with $\bigcup_{\zeta\in\mu_d}\zeta S$, we may assume that S is μ_d-invariant. For each line $i \in S$ fix a non-zero vector $(a_i, b_i) \in F \times F$ on it. Consider the elements

$$A = \sum_{I\subseteq P}(-1)^{|I|}[(0,\beta)] \overset{\oplus}{\cdot} \prod_{i\in S}^{\oplus}\left([(0,0)] - [(\prod_{p\in I}\zeta_p\cdot b_i, a_i)]\right),$$

where ζ_p is a primitive p-th root of unity and $\overset{\oplus}{\cdot}$ and $\prod^{\oplus}$ denote the products in the group ring $\mathbb{Z}[F^2]$ of the additive group F^2.

Set $\zeta_I := \prod_{p\in I}\zeta_p$. Clearly, the element A is equal to

$$\sum_{I\subseteq P}\sum_{J\subseteq S}(-1)^{|I|+|J|}[(\zeta_I b_J, a_J+\beta)] = \sum_{I\subseteq P}\sum_{\emptyset\neq J\subseteq S}(-1)^{|I|+|J|}[(\zeta_I b_J, a_J+\beta)],$$

where $a_J := \sum_{i\in J} a_i$ and $b_J := \sum_{i\in J} b_i$, and there are no canceling summands in the latter sum for sufficiently general choice of $((a_i,b_i))_{i\in S}$. Now, for all appropriate $((a_i,b_i))_{i\in S}$, λ, β, the element

$$\sum_{I\subseteq P}\sum_{\emptyset\neq J\subseteq S}(-1)^{|I|+|J|}\left([(\zeta_I b_J, a_J+\beta)] - [(\lambda\zeta_I b_J, \lambda a_J+\lambda\beta)]\right)$$

belongs to the kernel.

Proposition 4.5. *Let H be an algebraic k-group, $N \geq 1$ be an integer, and H_i be a k-subgroup for each $0 \leq i \leq N$. Suppose that H_j normalizes H_i for each pair $1 \leq i < j \leq N$ and H_i is contained in H_0 for neither $1 \leq i \leq N$. Denote by $f_i : H \longrightarrow H/H_i$ the corresponding projections. Then there is a 0-cycle $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}[H(F)]$ such that $(f_i)_*\alpha = 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $(f_0)_*\alpha \neq 0$. More explicitly, almost all 0-cycles of type $(h_1-1)\cdots(h_N-1)$, where $h_i \in H_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N$, satisfy these conditions.*

Proof. The condition $(f_i)_*\alpha = 0$ means that $\alpha \in \sum_{h\in H_i}\mathbb{Q}[H(F)](h-1)$. It is thus sufficient to check that $(h_i-1)\cdots(h_N-1) \in \sum_{h\in H_i}\mathbb{Q}[H(F)](h-1)$. This can be shown by descending induction on i: $(h_i-1)(h_{i+1}-1) = (h_ih_{i+1}h_i^{-1}-1)(h_i-1) + h_{i+1}(h_{i+1}^{-1}h_ih_{i+1}h_i^{-1}-1) \in \sum_{h\in H_i}\mathbb{Q}[H(F)](h-1)$, the case $i = N$ being trivial.

The set

$$\{(h_1,\dots,h_N) \in H_1\times\cdots\times H_N \mid (h_1-1)\cdots(h_N-1) \in \sum_{h\in H_0}\mathbb{Q}[H(F)](h-1)\}$$

is an algebraic subvariety in $H_1\times\cdots\times H_N$. In fact, it is a union of subvarieties contained in the subvarieties of type $\{(h_1,\dots,h_N) \in H_1\times\cdots\times H_N \mid h_{i_1}\cdots h_{i_s} \in h_{j_1}\cdots h_{j_t}H_0\}$ for all $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq N$ and some $t \equiv s+1 \bmod 2$ and

$1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_t \le N$. The latter subvarieties are proper, since H_0 contains neither of H_i. $\square$

Lemma 4.6. *If $n = \infty$ and $r = 1$ then the projection $\mathbb{Q}[\{k(X) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_v\}] \longrightarrow C_{k(X)}$ is surjective for any irreducible variety X over k.*

Proof. Let $P : k(X) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ be a generic point. Choose a subfield $k' \subset \mathcal{O}_v \cap \overline{P(k(X))}$ over k projecting isomorphically onto $(\mathcal{O}_v \cap \overline{P(k(X))})/(\mathfrak{m}_v \cap \overline{P(k(X))}) \subset \kappa(v)$.

Let $k'(C) := k'P(k(X)) \subset F$. If P does not factor through $\mathcal{O}_v$ then $k'(C)$ is the function field of a smooth proper curve over k'. As the class of P in $C_{k(X)}$ belongs to the image of $\mathbb{Q}[\{k'(C) \overset{/k'}{\hookrightarrow} F\}] \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{/k'}\mathbb{Q}[\{k'(C) \overset{/k'}{\hookrightarrow} F\}] = \mathrm{Pic}(C_F)_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow C_{k(X)}$, it remains only to check the surjectivity of $\mathbb{Q}[\{k'(C) \overset{/k'}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_v\}] \longrightarrow \mathrm{Pic}(C_F)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

By [R1, Corollary 3.5], the generic points $k'(C) \overset{/k'}{\hookrightarrow} \kappa(v)$ generate $\mathrm{Pic}(C_{\kappa(v)})$.

Let us check the transitivity of the $(G_v^{\dagger} \cap G_{F|k'})$-action on the generic fibres of the specialization $\mathrm{Pic}(C_F) \overset{s}{\twoheadrightarrow} \mathrm{Pic}(C_{\kappa(v)})$ (i.e. over all $k'(\mathrm{Pic}^j C) \overset{\sigma}{\hookrightarrow} \kappa(v)$). Let $x_1, \dots, x_g$ be a transcendence base of $k'(\mathrm{Pic}^j C)$ over k', and $\xi_1, \xi_2 : k'(\mathrm{Pic}^j C) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_v$ be a pair of liftings of σ. Then there exists an element $\tau \in G_v^{\dagger} \cap G_{F|k'}$ such that $\tau\xi_1 x_j = \xi_2 x_j$ for all $1 \le j \le g$. The field $k'(\mathrm{Pic}^j C)$ is generated over $k'(x_1, \dots, x_g)$ by an algebraic element f. According to Hensel's lemma, $\tau\xi_1 f = \xi_2 f$. (Note, that one can replace $\mathrm{Pic} C$ by an arbitrary smooth variety over k'.)

Thus, the kernel of s coincides with $\{\tau\widetilde{\sigma} - \widetilde{\sigma} \mid \tau \in G_v^{\dagger} \cap G_{F|k'}\}$, and therefore, the generic points $k'(C) \overset{/k'}{\hookrightarrow} \mathcal{O}_v$ (i.e. whose specializations are also generic) generate $\mathrm{Pic}(C_F)$. $\square$

Corollary 4.7. *$\Gamma(W) = W_v = W$ for any $W \in \mathcal{I}_G$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 4.6 in the case of $W = C_{k(X)}$. As $C_{k(X)}$ for all irreducible varieties X over k form a system of generators of $\mathcal{I}_G$, and the functor $W \mapsto W_v$ preserves the surjections, we get that $W_v = W$ for arbitrary $W \in \mathcal{I}_G$. $\square$

Lemma 4.8. *Suppose that $n = \infty$. Then $(W_1 \otimes W_2)_v \subseteq (W_1)_v \otimes (W_2)_v$ and $\Gamma(W_1 \otimes W_2) \subseteq \Gamma(W_1) \otimes \Gamma(W_2)$ for any $W_1, W_2 \in \mathcal{S}m_G$. However, $(W \otimes W)_v \ne W_v \otimes W_v$ if $W = \mathbb{Q}[F \smallsetminus k]$. If either W_1 is a quotient of $A(F)$ for a commutative algebraic k-group A, or $W_1 \in \mathcal{I}_G$ then $(W_1 \otimes W_2)_v = (W_1)_v \otimes (W_2)_v$ for any $W_2 \in \mathcal{S}m_G$.*

Proof. The identity $(W_1 \otimes W_2)^{G_{F|F'}} = W_1^{G_{F|F'}} \otimes W_2^{G_{F|F'}}$ ([R2, Lemma 7.5]) implies the first inclusion, the second follows from the first. If $W = \mathbb{Q}[F \smallsetminus k]$, one has $(W \otimes W)_v \not\ni [x] \otimes [x'] \in W_v \otimes W_v$ for any pair of distinct $x, x' \in \mathcal{O}_v \smallsetminus (k + \mathfrak{m}_v)$ such that $x \equiv x' \bmod \mathfrak{m}_v$.

Let $w \in W_2^{G_{F|F'}}$. Then $G_{F|F'} \subset G_{F|L} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_w$, where $L \subset F'$ is of finite type over k.

If F'' is an algebraically closed subfield in $\mathcal{O}_v$ and either F'' and L are algebraically independent over k in $\kappa(v)$, or $L \subseteq F''$ then $\overline{LF''} \subset \mathcal{O}_v$, so $W_1^{G_{F|F''}} \otimes w \subseteq (W_1 \otimes W_2)^{G_{F|\overline{LF''}}} \subseteq (W_1 \otimes W_2)_v$. If W_1 is of type as above then $W_1^{G_{F|F''}}$, with F'' and L algebraically independent over k in $\kappa(v)$, generate $(W_1)_v$, i.e., $(W_1)_v \otimes w \subseteq (W_1 \otimes W_2)_v$, thus finally, $(W_1)_v \otimes (W_2)_v \subseteq (W_1 \otimes W_2)_v$. □

REMARKS. 1. If W is endowed with an F-vector space structure $F \otimes W \longrightarrow W$ then, by Lemma 4.8, W_v carries an $\mathcal{O}_v$-module structure: $(F \otimes W)_v = \mathcal{O}_v \otimes W_v \longrightarrow W_v$. Clearly, $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} W_v \longrightarrow W$ is injective, but not surjective, as shows the example of $W = F[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]$.

2. Clearly, Γ_r preserves the injections, but not the surjections. Namely, let $W := \bigotimes_k^N F \longrightarrow \Omega_{F|k}^{N-1}$ be given by $a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_N \mapsto a_1 da_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge da_N$. Then $W_v = \bigotimes_k^N \mathcal{O}_v$ if $n \geq 2N$, so $(\bigotimes_F^{N-1} \Omega_{F|k_0}^1)_v = \bigotimes_F^{N-1} \Omega_{\mathcal{O}_v|k_0}^1$ for any $k_0 \subseteq k$; and $\Gamma(\bigotimes_k^N F) = k$, but $\Gamma_r(\Omega_{F|k}^{\bullet}) = \Omega_{F|k,\mathrm{reg}}^{\bullet}$ for any $r \geq 1$.

Proof. Clearly, $W^{G_{F|F'}} = \bigotimes_k^N F'$, so $W_v \subseteq \bigotimes_k^N \mathcal{O}_v$. Let $\omega = x_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_N$ for some $x_1, \dots, x_N \in F'$ whose images in $\kappa(v)$ algebraically independent over $k(\overline{y_1}, \dots, \overline{y_N})$ for some $y_1, \dots, y_N \in \mathcal{O}_v$. Then for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ there exists an element $\sigma_i \in G_v \cap G_{F|k(x_1,\dots,\widehat{x_i},\dots,x_N,y_1,\dots,y_N)}$ such that $\sigma_i x_i = x_i + y_i$. Then $\prod_{i=1}^N (\sigma_i - 1)\omega = y_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes y_N$. □

In the case $n = \infty$ one can also apply Lemma 4.8.

For an integral normal k-variety X with $k(X) \subset F$ let $\mathfrak{V}(X)$ be the set of all discrete valuations of F of rank one trivial on k such that their restrictions to $k(X)$ are either trivial, or correspond to divisors on X. Set $\mathcal{W}(X) := W^{G_{F|k(X)}} \cap \bigcap_{v \in \mathfrak{V}(X)} W_v \subseteq W$.

REMARK. $W^{G_{F|k(X)}} \cap W_v$ depends only on the restriction of v to $k(X)$, since the set of $G_{F|k(X)}$-orbits $G_{F|k(X)} \backslash G / G_v$ of the valuations of F coincides, by Proposition 3.4, with the set of discrete valuations of $k(X)$ of rank $\leq r$. E.g., if the restriction of v to $k(X)$ is trivial then $W^{G_{F|k(X)}} \subseteq W_v$.

EXAMPLES. 1. If $V = \mathbb{Q}[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]$, or $V = F[\{L \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]$ then $\mathcal{V}(U) = 0$ for any non-trivial field extension $L|k$ of finite type and any smooth U over k.

2. If $V = \Omega_{F|k}^{\bullet}$ then $\mathcal{V}(U) = \Omega_{\mathcal{O}(U)|k}^{\bullet}$ for any smooth U over k.

3. If $V = \mathrm{Sym}_F^s \Omega_{F|k}^1$ then $\mathcal{V}(U) \subset \mathrm{Sym}_{k(U)}^s \Omega_{k(U)|k}^1$ consists of elements with poles (with respect to the lattice $\mathrm{Sym}_{\mathcal{O}(U)}^s \Omega_{\mathcal{O}(U)|k}^1$) of order $< s$ for any smooth curve U over k.

Note, that $\mathcal{V}$ is functorial with respect to all morphisms of smooth k-varieties; $\Gamma(V)$ is 'homotopy invariant' if and only if $s = 1$.

4. If $V = W \otimes F$ for some $W \in \mathcal{I}_G$ then $\mathcal{V}(U) = (W^{G_{F|\overline{k(U)}}} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{O}(U)})^{G_{\overline{k(U)}|k(U)}}$ for any irreducible smooth affine U over k, where $\overline{\mathcal{O}(U)}$ is the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}(U)$ in F.

Consider the following site $\mathfrak{H}$. Objects of $\mathfrak{H}$ are the smooth varieties over k. Morphisms in $\mathfrak{H}$ are the locally dominant morphisms, transforming non-dominant divisors to divisors. Coverings are smooth morphisms surjective over the generic point of any divisor on the target. Denote by $\mathrm{Shv}(\mathfrak{H})$ the category of sheaves on $\mathfrak{H}$. Consider the functor $\Phi : \mathrm{Shv}(\mathfrak{H}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_G$, given by $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(F) := \lim\limits_{\overrightarrow{A}} \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Spec}(A)) \in \mathcal{S}m_G$. Here A runs over smooth k-subalgebras of F. Example. If $j \leq 1$ then $\mathcal{F} : X \mapsto Z^j(X_L)$ is a sheaf on $\mathfrak{H}$, and $\mathcal{F}(F) = Z^j(L \otimes_k F)$. In particular, Φ is not faithful, since $\mathcal{F}(F) = 0$ if $j = 1$ and $L = k$.

Proposition 4.9. *A choice of k-embeddings into F of all generic points of all smooth k-varieties defines a functor $\mathcal{S}m_G \longrightarrow \mathrm{Shv}(\mathfrak{H})$, $V \mapsto \mathcal{V}$.*

Question. Is it right adjoint to Φ?

Proof. Clearly, if a dominant morphism $f : U \longrightarrow X$ transforms divisors on U, non-dominant over X, to divisors on X then $\mathfrak{V}(U) \subseteq \mathfrak{V}(X)$, so $\mathcal{V}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{V}(U)$.

If, moreover, the pull-back of any divisor on X is a divisor on U then $\mathfrak{V}(X) = \mathfrak{V}(U)$.

By Lemma 1.1 of [JR], the sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in X^0} V^{G_{F|k(x)}} \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in U^0} V^{G_{F|k(x)}} \longrightarrow \prod_{x \in (U \times_X U)^0} V^{G_{F|k(x)}}$$

is exact (in fact, $X \mapsto \prod_{x \in X^0} V^{G_{F|k(x)}}$ is a sheaf on a topology $\mathfrak{D}m_k$). As $\mathfrak{V}(X) = \mathfrak{V}(U) = \mathfrak{V}(U \times_X U)$, the sheaf property for the covering f amounts to the exactness of the above sequence restricted to $\prod_{x \in U^0} \bigcap_{v \in \mathfrak{V}(X)} V_v$. □

4.2. The ‘specialization’ functor

Lemma 4.10. *If $r = 1$ and $n = \infty$ then $H_0(G_v^\dagger, -) = -_{G_v^\dagger}$ gives functors $\mathcal{S}m_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_{G_{\kappa(v)|k}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{I}_{G_{\kappa(v)|k}}$. There are natural surjections of smooth $G_{\kappa(v)|k}$-modules $H^0(G_{F|F'}, W) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa(v)|k} H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v) \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow H_0(G_v^\dagger, \mathcal{I}W)$ for any $W \in \mathcal{S}m_G$. They are isomorphisms if $(-)_v$ is exact.*

Proof. For any smooth representation W of G the stabilizer of any vector $\overline{w} \in H_0(G_v^\dagger, W)$ contains the stabilizer in G_v of its preimage $w \in W$, which implies the smoothness of $H_0(G_v^\dagger, W)$, since the projection $G_v \longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\rightarrow G_{\kappa(v)|k}$ is open.

Choose a presentation of W as cokernel of $\varphi : B \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{j \in J} \mathbb{Q}[\{L_j \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]$. As the functor $H_0(G_v^\dagger, (-)_v)$ is right exact if $(-)_v$ is exact, and the functor

$H^0(G_{F|F'}, -)$ is exact, in the following commutative diagram the rows are exact:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_0(G_v^\dagger, B_v) \arrow[r] & \bigoplus_{j\in J}\mathbb{Q}[\{L_j \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} \kappa(v)\}] \arrow[r] & H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v) \arrow[r] & 0 \\
B^{G_{F|F'}} \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\text{onto}"] & \bigoplus_{j\in J}\mathbb{Q}[\{L_j \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F'\}] \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\cong"'] & W^{G_{F|F'}} \arrow[r] \arrow[u] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{4.3}$$

which implies that $W^{G_{F|F'}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v)$.

By [R1, Lemma 6.7], the functor $H^0(G_{F|F'}, -)$ induces equivalences of categories $\mathcal{S}m_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}m_{G_{F'|k}}$ and $\mathcal{I}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{I}_{G_{F'|k}}$, so $H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v) \in \mathcal{S}m_{G_{\kappa(v)|k}}$ and $H_0(G_v^\dagger, \mathcal{I}W) \in \mathcal{I}_{G_{\kappa(v)|k}}$, since they are quotients of $W^{G_{F'|k}} \in \mathcal{S}m_{G_{F'|k}}$ and of $(\mathcal{I}W)^{G_{F'|k}} \in \mathcal{I}_{G_{F'|k}}$, respectively. $\square$

Remark. Without assuming exactness of the functor $(-)_v$, it is clear from (4.3) that if φ is injective then $B^{G_{F|F'}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0(G_v^\dagger, B_v)$.

Corollary 4.11. *For any smooth irreducible divisor D on any smooth proper irreducible variety X over k there is a natural morphism $C_{k(D)} \longrightarrow C_{k(X)}$, if $(-)_v$ is exact for $r = 1$, making commutative the diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C_{k(D)} \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d] & CH_0(D_F)_{\mathbb{Q}} \arrow[d] \\
C_{k(X)} \arrow[r, two heads] & CH_0(X_F)_{\mathbb{Q}}
\end{tikzcd}.$$

Proof. The second isomorphism from Lemma 4.10 factors through the module $\mathcal{I}_{\kappa(v)|k}H_0(G_v^\dagger, W)$. Let $W = \mathbb{Q}[\{k(X) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]$ and $\mathcal{O}_v \cap k(X) = \mathcal{O}_{X,D}$. By Proposition 3.4, $H_0(G_v^\dagger, W) = \mathbb{Q}[\{k(X) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} \kappa(v)\}] \oplus \bigoplus_w \mathbb{Q}[\{\kappa(w) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} \kappa(v)\}]$, where w runs over the set of discrete valuations of $k(X)$ of rank 1. Then, replacing $\kappa(v)$ by F and applying the functor $\mathcal{I}$, we get a morphism $C_{k(X)} \oplus \bigoplus_w C_{\kappa(w)} \longrightarrow C_{k(X)}$. $\square$

Lemma 4.12. *Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a functor on the category of smooth k-varieties (and all their smooth morphisms). For a filtered union $\mathcal{O} = \varinjlim_A A$ of finitely generated smooth k-subalgebras A let $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}) := \varinjlim_A \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{Spec}(A))$ be the limit. Then*

1. *$\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O})$ is independent of presentation of $\mathcal{O}$ as a filtered union;*
2. *$\mathcal{F}(F)^{G_{F|F'}} = \mathcal{F}(F')$ for any $F' = \overline{F'} \subseteq F$ with $\mathrm{tr.deg}(F'|k) = \infty$.*

Suppose that $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_v) = \mathcal{F}(F)_v$ for a discrete valuation $v : F^\times/k^\times \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{Q}$.[6]

Then $H_0(G_v^\dagger, \mathcal{F}(F)_v) = \mathcal{F}(\kappa(v))$.

---

[6] The valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_v$ of v is the filtered union of the valuation rings $\mathcal{O}_L$ of the restrictions of v to subfields L in $F|k$ of finite type. As the normal varieties are non-singular outside codimension 2, the ring $\mathcal{O}_L$ is a filtered union of coordinate rings of smooth affine models of $L|k$.

If $v : F^\times/k^\times \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^r$ is a discrete valuation of rank $r > 1$ then the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_v$ of v is *not* a union of smooth k-subalgebras.

Proof. Fix an isomorphism $\alpha : F \xrightarrow{\sim} F'$ over k. Then $\alpha^* : \varinjlim_{U} \mathcal{F}(U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \varinjlim_{V} \mathcal{F}(V)$, where $\mathcal{O}(U) \subset F$ and $\mathcal{O}(V) \subset F'$ are smooth. For any U there is $\sigma \in G$ such that $\sigma|_{\mathcal{O}(U)} = \alpha|_{\mathcal{O}(U)}$, so $\varinjlim_{V} \mathcal{F}(V) = \varinjlim_{(U,\sigma)} \sigma\mathcal{F}(U) = \mathcal{F}(F)^{G_{F|F'}}$.

The composition $\mathcal{F}(F') = \mathcal{F}(F)^{G_{F|F'}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_v) \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{F}(\kappa(v))$ is induced by the isomorphism $F' \xrightarrow{\sim} \kappa(v)$, so it is itself an isomorphism. As i is $G_v^{\dagger}$-invariant, it factors through $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_v) \twoheadrightarrow H_0(G_v^{\dagger}, \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_v))$, so $\mathcal{F}(F') \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_0(G_v^{\dagger}, \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_v))$ is injective. Our assumption and Lemma 4.10 imply that α is surjective. $\square$

EXAMPLES. 1. As it shows the example of the birationally invariant presheaf $U \mapsto \Gamma(\overline{U}, \mathrm{Sym}^2_{\mathcal{O}}\Omega^1_{\overline{U}|k})$ (or any other stably birational presheaf without Galois descent property) and of $F' \subset F$ purely transcendental over k, one cannot omit the condition that F' is algebraically closed to ensure $\mathcal{F}(F)^{G_{F|F'}} = \mathcal{F}(F')$.

2. For a smooth proper k-variety X and $q \geq 0$ the functor $\mathcal{F} : Y \mapsto CH^q(X \times_k Y)$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 4.12 and $\mathcal{F}(F) = CH^q(X_F)$.

Moreover, let us show that $CH^q(X \times_k \mathcal{O}_v) = CH^q(X_F)$ by inducton on r. For the minimal prime ideal $0 \neq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subset \mathcal{O}_v$, one has a short exact sequence of Gersten complexes of flabby sheaves on $X \times_k \mathcal{O}_v$:

$$0 \to \coprod_{x \in (X \times_k (\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_1))^{\bullet - 1}} K_{q-\bullet}(\kappa(x)) \to \coprod_{x \in (X \times_k \mathcal{O}_v)^{\bullet}} K_{q-\bullet}(\kappa(x)) \to \coprod_{x \in X_F^{\bullet}} K_{q-\bullet}(\kappa(x)) \to 0,$$

the end of whose long exact cohomological sequence looks as

$$H^{q-1}(X_F, \mathcal{K}_q) \xrightarrow{\partial} CH^{q-1}(X \times_k (\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_1)) \to CH^q(X \times_k \mathcal{O}_v) \xrightarrow{\pi} CH^q(X_F) \to 0.$$

The composition of the surjections

$$CH^{q-1}(X_F) \otimes F^{\times} \overset{id \cdot v}{\twoheadrightarrow} CH^{q-1}(X_F) \overset{\mathrm{sp}}{\twoheadrightarrow} CH^{q-1}(X_L),$$

where L is the fraction field of $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_1$, factors through $CH^{q-1}(X_F) \otimes F^{\times} \longrightarrow H^{q-1}(X_F, \mathcal{K}_q)$. By induction assumption $CH^{q-1}(X \times_k (\mathcal{O}_v/\mathfrak{p}_1)) = CH^{q-1}(X_L)$, which implies the surjectivity of ∂, and therefore, that π is an isomorphism. $\square$

The isomorphism $H_0(G_v^{\dagger}, CH^q(X_F)) = CH^q(X_{\kappa(v)})$ is nothing but the specialization homomorphism $CH^q(X_F) \twoheadrightarrow CH^q(X_{\kappa(v)})$ (cf. [S]), which is $G_v^{\dagger}$-invariant, so it factors through $H_0(G_v^{\dagger}, CH^q(X_F))$.

2. The functor $\mathcal{F} : Y \mapsto \Gamma(\overline{Y}, \Omega^{\bullet}_{\overline{Y}|k})$, where $\overline{Y}$ is a smooth compactification of Y, also satisfies the condition of Lemma 4.12, and $\mathcal{F}(F) = \Omega^{\bullet}_{F|k,\mathrm{reg}}$.

The reduction modulo the maximal ideal induces a surjection $\Omega^{\bullet}_{\mathcal{O}_v|k} \twoheadrightarrow \Omega^{\bullet}_{\kappa(v)|k}$ and an isomorphism $H_0(G_v^{\dagger}, \Omega^{\bullet}_{F|k,\mathrm{reg}}) = \Omega^{\bullet}_{\kappa(v)|k,\mathrm{reg}}$. $\square$

3. $\mathcal{F} : Y \mapsto \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{O}(Y)]$ is an example with $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_v) = \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{O}_v] \neq \mathcal{F}(F)_v = \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{O}_v \smallsetminus (k + \mathfrak{m}_v)] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[k]$. However, still $H_0(G_v^{\dagger}, \mathcal{F}(F)_v) = \mathcal{F}(\kappa(v)) = \mathbb{Q}[\kappa(v)]$.

Remark. The functor $H_0(G_v^\dagger, -) : \mathcal{S}m_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_{G_{\kappa(v)|k}}$ is neither full, since $\mathbb{Q}[\{k(X) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}]^G = 0$ for $r \geq d > 0$, but $H_0(G_v^\dagger, \mathbb{Q}[\{k(X) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F\}])^{G_{\kappa(v)|k}} = \mathbb{Q}[\mathcal{P}^d_{k(X)}]$, nor faithful, since $(F^\times)^G = k^\times$ and $F^G = k$, but $H_0(G_v^\dagger \cap G_{F|F'}, F^\times) = H_0(G_v^\dagger \cap G_{F|F'}, F) = 0$.[7] Also one has $H_0(G_v^\dagger, V) = 0$ for any smooth semilinear representation V of G over F, if $\mathrm{tr.deg}(\kappa(v)|k) = \infty$.

Proof. Let $w \in V$ and $G_{F|L} \subseteq \mathrm{Stab}_w$ for some L of finite type over k. Choose $t \in \mathfrak{m}_v \smallsetminus \{0\}$ and $f \in F'$ transcendental over $L(t)$. Then there is $\sigma \in G_{F|L(t)} \cap G_v^\dagger$ such that $\sigma f - f = t$, i.e. $w = \sigma(t^{-1} f w) - t^{-1} f w$. □

Corollary 4.13. *Let X be an irreducible variety over k with the function field embedded into F and $Y \subset X$ be an irreducible divisor. The discrete valuations $v : F^\times/k^\times \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$ of F of rank 1 such that $k(X) \cap \mathcal{O}_v = \mathcal{O}_{X,Y}$ (so $\kappa(v|_{k(X)}) = k(Y)$) form a single $G_{F|k(X)}$-orbit. Then any embedding $k(Y) \overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow} F$ induces a canonical isomorphism $W^{G_{F|k(Y)}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v)^{G_{F|k(X)} \cap G_v}$, if $(-)_v$ is exact.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.10, $W^{G_{F|F'}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v)$. Then Lemma 4.2 implies that

$$\left(W^{G_{F|F'}}\right)^{G_{\kappa(v)|\kappa(v|_L)}} \xrightarrow{\sim} H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v)^{G_{F|L} \cap G_v} = H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v)^{G_{\kappa(v)|\kappa(v|_L)}}. \qquad \square$$

4.3. Restrictions on objects of $\mathcal{I}_G$ and on the quotients of objects of $\mathcal{I}_G \otimes F$

Assume that $n = \infty$. Denote by $\mathcal{C}$ the category of smooth semilinear representations of G.

Lemma 4.14. *The composition $\mathcal{I}_G(k) \xrightarrow{\otimes_k F} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\Gamma \circ \mathrm{for}} \mathcal{S}m_G(k)$ is identical.*

Proof. This follows from Corollary 4.7 and Lemma 4.8. □

Then one gets the conditions $V_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} F = V$ and $\Gamma(V) \otimes_k F \twoheadrightarrow V$ for any $W \in \mathcal{I}_G$ and any semilinear quotient V of $W \otimes F$ ('the interesting objects of $\mathcal{C}$ are globally generated'), but one has to check, at least, that they are non-empty on the set of irreducible objects. Obviously, if the condition $V_v \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} F = V$ holds for some discrete valuation v of rank 1 then it holds for all discrete valuations v of rank 1.

Corollary 4.15. *Let $\mathcal{I}'_G$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{I}_G$, for whose objects W the natural map $W^{G_{F|F'}} \longrightarrow H_0(G_v^\dagger, W)$ is an isomorphism, where $v \in \mathcal{P}^1_F$ is a discrete valuation of rank 1. If $n = \infty$ then $\mathcal{I}'_G$ is an abelian category, closed under taking subquotients in $\mathcal{I}_G$.*

---

[7] since for any $x \in F \smallsetminus \mathcal{O}_v$ there are $\sigma, \tau \in G_v^\dagger \cap G_{F|F'}$ such that $\sigma t = t x^{-1}$ and $\tau x = 2x$, and $F \smallsetminus \mathcal{O}_v$ generates both $F^\times$ and F.

Proof. For any $W \in \mathcal{I}'_G$ any any short exact sequence $0 \longrightarrow W_1 \longrightarrow W \longrightarrow W_2 \longrightarrow 0$ the rows of the following commutative diagram are exact

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & W_1^{G_{F|F'}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_1"'] & W^{G_{F|F'}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\cong"] & W_2^{G_{F|F'}} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_2"] & 0 \\
& H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_1) \arrow[r] & H_0(G_v^\dagger, W) \arrow[r] & H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_2) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

(the upper one, since $H^0(G_{F|F'}, -) : \mathcal{S}m_G \longrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_{G_{F'|k}}$ is an equivalence of categories, cf. [R1, Lemma 6.7]. As α_1 and α_2 are surjective by Lemma 4.10, they are isomorphisms. □

Let $\mathcal{I}_G^+$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}_-$) be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{S}m_G$ (resp., of $\mathcal{C}$) with objects W such that $W = W_v$ (resp., $W = F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} W_v$). Clearly, they are closed under taking quotients and contain $\mathcal{I}_G$ (resp., $\mathcal{I}_G \otimes F$).

Lemma 4.16. *Assume that $(-)_v$ is exact. Then $\mathcal{I}_G^+$ (resp., $\mathcal{C}_-$) is a Serre subcategory in $\mathcal{S}m_G$ (resp., in $\mathcal{C}$). Moreover, $\mathcal{I}_G^+ \neq \mathcal{I}_G$.*

The inclusion functors $\mathcal{I}_G^+ \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}m_G$ and $\mathcal{C}_- \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}$ admit right adjoints $W \mapsto \Gamma(W)$ and $V \mapsto \bigcap_v (F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} V_v)$, respectively, but do not admit left adjoints.

Proof. The first assertion is clear, since the functors $(-)_v$ and $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} (-)_v$ are exact.

Let $W' \in \mathcal{I}_G^+$, $\varphi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}m_G}(W', W)$ and $V' \in \mathcal{C}_-$, $\psi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V', V)$. Then φ factors through $\Gamma(W)$ and ψ factors through $\bigcap_v (F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} V_v)$. As $(-)_v$ is exact, $\Gamma(W)_v = \Gamma(W) \cap W_v = \Gamma(W)$ and $F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_u} (\bigcap_v (F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} V_v))_u = \bigcap_v (F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} V_v) \cap (F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_u} V_u) = \bigcap_v (F \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_v} V_v)$. Moreover, $\Gamma(\mathrm{Sym}^2_F \Omega^1_{F|k})_v = \Gamma(\mathrm{Sym}^2_F \Omega^1_{F|k}) \notin \mathcal{I}_G$.

To see the absence of left adjoints, let us check that $\mathbb{Q}[F \smallsetminus k] \notin \mathcal{I}_G^+$ can be embedded into a product of copies of $\prod_{j \geq 2} \Gamma(\mathrm{Sym}^j_F \Omega^1_{F|k})$. For each integer $s \geq 2$ consider $\mathbb{Q}[F \smallsetminus k] \longrightarrow \prod_{j \geq 2} \mathrm{Sym}^j_F \Omega^1_{F|k}$ given by $[x] \mapsto \left(\frac{(dx)^j}{x^{j-1}(x^s - 1)} \right)_{j \geq 2}$. Suppose that an element $\sum_i a_i [x_i]$ with pairwise distinct $x_i \in F \smallsetminus k$ is sent to zero for any s. Then, by Artin's theorem on linear independence of characters, $\sum_{i:\ x_i/x \in k^\times} a_i \frac{x_i}{x_i^s - 1} = 0$ for any $x \in F^\times$. Fix some i. Then $\sum_{j:\ x_j = \lambda_j x_i} a_i \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_j^s x_i^s - 1} = 0$. As $\lambda_j \in k^\times$ are pairwise distinct, for $s = 1 + N!$ and sufficiently big N the left hand side has a simple pole at $x_i = 1$, unless $a_i = 0$. □

REMARKS. 1. Assuming that Corollary 4.13 holds, the following construction should provide a fully faithful functor from $\mathcal{I}_G^+$ to the category of (birationally invariant) functors on smooth varieties over k with all, not necessarily smooth, morphisms, which is right quasi-inverse to the functor $\Phi : \mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{F}(F)$, cf. p.27.

As usually, we assume that the function fields of irreducible varieties $Y \subset X$ over k are embedded into F. For any $W \in \mathcal{I}_G^+$ the natural homomorphism $W^{G_{F|k(X)}} \longrightarrow H_0(G_v^\dagger, W)$ factors through $W^{G_{F|k(X)}} \longrightarrow H_0(G_v^\dagger, W_v)^{G_v \cap G_{F|k(X)}}$.

By Corollary 4.13, the target space is canonically isomorphic to $W^{G_{F|k(Y)}}$, if $k(X)\cap\mathcal{O}_v=\mathcal{O}_{X,Y}$. $\square$

2. Let $W\in\mathcal{C}$ be a sub-object of $F[\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}F\}]$ for a finitely generated subfield L in $F|k$. The following conditions are equivalent.

1. $F[\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}F\}]/W\in\mathcal{C}_-$;
2. $W+F[\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}\mathcal{O}_v\}]=F[\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}F\}]$;
3. $W\longrightarrow\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow F[\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}F\}]/F[\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}\mathcal{O}_v\}]$;
4. for any $\sigma:L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}F$ there exists an element $\alpha_\sigma\in F[\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}\mathcal{O}_v\}]$ such that $\sigma+\alpha_\sigma\in W$.

Now, given any G_v-equivariant map $\alpha:\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}F\}\smallsetminus\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}\mathcal{O}_v\}\longrightarrow F[\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}\mathcal{O}_v\}]$, we define $W_\alpha\in\mathcal{C}$ as the sub-object generated by $\sigma+\alpha_\sigma$ for all σ in the domain of definition of α. The objects $F[\{L\overset{/k}{\hookrightarrow}F\}]/W_\alpha$ for all α and purely transcendental extensions L of k of all (sufficiently big) finite transcendence degrees form a system of generators of the category $\mathcal{C}_-$.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank Uwe Jannsen for many inspiring discussions. I am grateful to the referee for pointing out several inaccuracies in the previous version of the paper and to Viktor Kulikov for explaining to me that the valuation ring of a discrete valuation v is a union of smooth k-subalgebras only if rank of v is equal to one. I am grateful to Regensburg University for hospitality, and to Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung for support that made my stay in Regensburg possible.

References

[AM] M.F.Atiyah, I.G.Macdonald, **Introduction to commutative algebra.** Addison-Wesley, 1969.

[I] Y.Ihara, **On congruence monodromy problems. Vol. 1.** Lecture Notes, No. 1 Dept. of Math., Univ. of Tokyo, 1968.

[Jac] N.Jacobson, **Lectures in abstract algebra. Vol III: Theory of fields and Galois theory.** D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto, Ont.-London-New York 1964.

[JR] U.Jannsen, M. Rovinsky, *Smooth representations and sheaves,* Moscow Math. J., `math.AG/0707.3914`.

[K1] W.Krull, *Galoissche Theorie der unendlichen algebraischen Erweiterungen,* Math. Ann. 100 (1928), no. 1, 687–698.

[K2] W.Krull, *Über eine Verallgemeinerung des Normalkörperbegriffs,* J. Reine Angew. Math. 191, (1953). 54–63.

[O] O.Ore, *Theory of non-commutative polynomials,* Ann. of Math. (2) **34** (1933), no. 3, 480–508.

[ПШ-Ш] I.I.Piatetskii-Shapiro, I.R.Shafarevich, *Galois theory of transcendental extensions and uniformization,* Izv.AN SSSR, ser. matem. **30** (1966), 671–704.

[R1] M.Rovinsky, *Motives and admissible representations of automorphism groups of fields.* Math.Zeit., 249 (2005), no. 1, 163–221, `math.RT/0101170`.

[R2] M.Rovinsky, *Semilinear representations of PGL,* Selecta Math., **11** (2005), 491–522, `math.RT/0306333`.

[R3] M.Rovinsky, *Admissible semi-linear representations,* Crelle **604** (2007), 159–186, `math.RT/0506043`.

[S] P.Samuel, *Rational equivalence of arbitrary cycles,* Amer. J. Math. **78** (1956), 383–400.

[Sh] G.Shimura, **Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions.** Iwanami Shouten Publishers and Princeton Univ. Press, 1971.

[W] E.J.Wilczynski, *On the Form of the Power Series for an Algebraic Function,* Amer. Math. Monthly **26** (1919), no. 1, 9–12.

[ZS] O.Zariski, P.Samuel, **Commutative algebra,** Vol. II, D. Van Nostrand Co., Inc., Princeton, N.J.-Toronto, Ont.-London-New York 1960.

M.Rovinsky
Independent University of Moscow
119002 Moscow
B.Vlasievsky Per. 11
and Institute for Information
Transmission Problems
of Russian Academy of Sciences
e-mail: `marat@mccme.ru`